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## Whitehead's "proof " of the Poincaré conjecture

J. H. C. Whitehead suggested in 1934 a proof based on the following scheme:

- let $X$ a simply connected closed 3-manifolds, $X \backslash\{*\}$ is contractible.
- The only contractible 3-manifolds is $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.
- One-point compactification of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ is $\mathbf{S}^{3}$.

In 1935 he realizes the mistake and constructed the first contractible 3-manifold not homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{3}$, the so-called Whitehead manifold.
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Hausdorff dimension $>1$.
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- $X$ is a limit of solid tori.
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- Define $S_{i}=S^{3} \backslash T_{i}$.
- $S_{i}$ is a solid torus,
- $S_{i} \subset S_{i+1}$ knotted in the same way,
- symmetry $\Rightarrow X=\bigcup S_{i}$.
- A more general construction.
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## Definition
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What is known :

- $X \times \mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{4}$ (Glimm-Shapiro) and $X \times X \simeq \mathbf{R}^{6}$ (Glimm).
- $S^{3} / W$ is not a manifold.
- Uncountably many examples (McMillan) (compare to countably many closed 3-manifolds).
- Uncountably many examples which do not embed in $S^{3}$ (Kister-McMillan).
- Examples that cannot cover non-trivially any manifold (Myers).
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## Strategy

The idea is to use geometry to understand these spaces and eventually what could be the right statement of geometrization.

- Question 1: what is the "best" metric on $M$ ?
- Question 2 : what is the evolution of the Ricci flow (with surgery)?
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## Remark

Whitehead manifolds cannot carry a complete metric of non-positive curvature. They can even not carry a CAT(0) distance.

Theorem (Gromov-Lawson, Chang-Weinberger-Yu)
Whitehead manifolds cannot carry complete metrics of uniformly positive scalar curvature.

Theorem (Gang Liu)
Whitehead manifolds cannot carry complete metrics of nonnegative Ricci curvature.

Higher genus Whitehead manifolds
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$\mathcal{X}=$ a class of closed 3 -manifolds. A manifold $M$ is a connected sum of members of $\mathcal{X}$ if
$\exists$ locally finite simplicial tree $T$ and $v \mapsto X_{v} \in \mathcal{X}$ defined on vertices of $T$

such that removing 3-balls and gluing $S^{2} \times I$ to the $X_{v}$ 's according to the edges of $T \leadsto M$.
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$\mathbf{R}^{3}$
- $\mathcal{X}=\left\{S^{3}\right\}, T=$ the line $\leadsto S^{2} \times \mathbf{R}$
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Theorem (Bessières-B.-Maillot)
$M$ has a complete metric of bounded geometry and Scal $\geqslant 1$ iff there is a finite collection $\mathcal{F}$ of spherical manifolds such that $M$ is a (maybe infinite) connected sum of copies of $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ and members of $\mathcal{F}$.
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- Compact case due to Perelman + Schoen-Yau or Gromov-Lawson.
- Uses a version of the Ricci flow with surgery for non compact manifolds.
- What if we relax the assumptions?
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Let $M$ be an orientable open 3-manifold and define
$\mathcal{R}_{1}=$ space of complete metrics with scalar curvature $\geq 1$ and bounded geometry.

Question
If $\mathcal{R}_{1} \neq \emptyset$, is $\mathcal{R}_{1} / \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ path connected?

The compact case is a result of F . Codá Marques.
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- If $E$ is a link $\leadsto$ Thurston's theory.
- What if $E$ is a Cantor set or a fractal?
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## Facts

- All Cantor sets are homeomorphic.
- The homeomorphism may not extend to $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ or $\mathbf{S}^{3}$.
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## Facts

- The binary tree of spheres is a triadic Cantor.
- If $E$ has "holes" $\sim \mathbf{S}^{3} \backslash E$ is not contractible.
- It is simply connected and has no metric of non positive curvature.

Theorem (Souto-Stover)
There is a cantor set $E \subset \mathbf{S}^{3}$ such that the complement $\mathbf{S}^{3} \backslash E$ admits a complete hyperbolic metric.
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- Loewner-Nirenberg (1974) : constant negative scalar curvature $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(n-2) / 2}(E)=+\infty$.
- Schoen-Yau (1988) : non-negative scalar curvature $\leadsto$ other results by ... Mazzeo-Pacard, Byde, Almir Silva Santos.
- D. Labutin ('05) :
constant negative scalar curvature $\Longleftrightarrow E$ is not thin.


## Labutin's criterion

$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

## Labutin's criterion

$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

$$
\forall p \in E, \quad \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r) \cap E)}{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r))}\right)^{(2 / n-2)} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty
$$

## Labutin's criterion

$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

$$
\forall p \in E, \quad \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r) \cap E)}{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r))}\right)^{(2 / n-2)} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a Bessel capacity.


## Labutin's criterion

$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

$$
\forall p \in E, \quad \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r) \cap E)}{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r))}\right)^{(2 / n-2)} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a Bessel capacity.
- $\mathcal{C}\left(E_{1}\right) \geq \mathcal{C}\left(E_{2}\right)$ if $E_{1} \supset E_{2}$.


## Labutin's criterion

$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

$$
\forall p \in E, \quad \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r) \cap E)}{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r))}\right)^{(2 / n-2)} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a Bessel capacity.
- $\mathcal{C}\left(E_{1}\right) \geq \mathcal{C}\left(E_{2}\right)$ if $E_{1} \supset E_{2}$.
- Criterion satisfied if $E$ submanifold of $\operatorname{dim}>(n-2) / 2$.
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$E \subset S^{n}$ is not thin means that

$$
\forall p \in E, \quad \int_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r) \cap E)}{\mathcal{C}(B(p, r))}\right)^{(2 / n-2)} \frac{d r}{r}=+\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a Bessel capacity.
- $\mathcal{C}\left(E_{1}\right) \geq \mathcal{C}\left(E_{2}\right)$ if $E_{1} \supset E_{2}$.
- Criterion satisfied if $E$ submanifold of $\operatorname{dim}>(n-2) / 2$.
- Satisfied for $E=$ Whitehead continuum.
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## Conical singularities

Question : Constant scalar curvature metrics with conical singularities on $E$ ?

- What does that mean?
- Start with $n=2$.
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[^0]:    4ロ（4気〉

