Equilibrium and non-equilibrium physics of low dimensional quantum gases

Miguel A. Cazalilla

CFM-CSIC & DIPC San Sebastian, Spain



Inhomogeneous superfluids Pisa July 2007

1D is very special

- No single-particle excitations at low energies.
- Absence of long-range order. No BEC.
- Non-refractive scattering: Integrability.
- Absence of thermalization.

What happens when one goes from 1D to D > 1?

Second lecture

- 1. Competing phases in optical lattices: quasi-1D lattices.
- 2. 2D Bose gas: BKT phenomena. BKT in the presence of Josephson coupling.
- 3. Fast rotation: quantum Hall regime. Edge excitations and Topological order in vortex liquids.

Very anisotropic lattice $(J_x >> J)$



[AF Ho, MAC & T Giamarchi, PRL 92 (2004)]













Zero-temperature phase diagram [AF Ho, MAC & T Giamarchi, PRL 92 (2004)]





2D optical lattices: 3D Superfluid (BEC) phase

[AF Ho, MAC & T Giamarchi, PRL 92 (2004)]

Mean-field theory: condensate fraction

$$\psi_0^2(T=0) \sim \rho_0 \left(\frac{J}{\mu}\right)^{1/(4K-1)} \left(\frac{2\pi T_c}{\hbar v_s \rho_0}\right)^{2-1/2K} = f(K) \frac{4J}{\hbar v_s \rho_0}$$

2D optical lattices: 3D Superfluid (BEC) phase

[AF Ho, MAC & T Giamarchi, PRL 92 (2004)]

Mean-field theory: condensate fraction

2D optical lattices: 3D Superfluid (BEC) phase

[AF Ho, MAC & T Giamarchi, PRL 92 (2004)]

Mean-field theory: condensate fraction

Variational approach: momentum distribution at T = 0

$$\frac{n(\mathbf{Q},q)}{|w(\mathbf{Q})|^2} \simeq \psi_0^2 \delta(\mathbf{Q}) \delta(q) + \frac{\pi b^2 \psi_0^2 / 2K}{\left[q^2 + (v_\perp \mathbf{Q}/v_s)^2\right]^{1/2} \text{ transverse velocity:}} v_\perp \sim \mu b(J/\mu)^{2K/(4K-1)}/\hbar$$

A roton mimimum?

1D Regime: Lieb-Liniger model

[JS Caux & P Calabresse, PRA (2006)]



A roton mimimum?

1D Regime: Lieb-Liniger model



[A Iucci, MAC, AF Ho & T Giamarchi PRA 73 (2006) MAC, AF Ho & T Giamarchi New J of Phys 8 (2006)]

Time-of-flight (TOF) = n(k)



Width of n(k) near k = 0

3D BEC width $\sim \frac{1}{R}$

Time-of-flight (TOF) = n(k)



Width of n(k) near k = 0 3D BEC width $\sim \frac{1}{R}$ 1D SF width $\sim \max\{\frac{1}{L}, \frac{\hbar v_s}{T}\}$ Mott Insulator width $\sim \frac{\Delta}{\hbar v_s}$ $\Delta = \Delta \left(\frac{U}{J}\right) \uparrow \text{ if } U \uparrow$

Time-of-flight (TOF) = n(k)



Width of n(k) near k = 0 3D BEC width $\sim \frac{1}{R}$ 1D SF width $\sim \max\{\frac{1}{L}, \frac{\hbar v_s}{T}\}$ Mott Insulator width $\sim \frac{\Delta}{\hbar v_s}$ $\Delta = \Delta \left(\frac{U}{J}\right) \uparrow \text{ if } U \uparrow$



[C. Kollath et al. PRA <u>69</u> (2004)]

Time-of-flight (TOF) = n(k)



Width of n(k) near k = 0 3D BEC width $\sim \frac{1}{R}$ 1D SF width $\sim \max\{\frac{1}{L}, \frac{\hbar v_s}{T}\}$ Mott Insulator width $\sim \frac{\Delta}{\hbar v_s}$ $\Delta = \Delta \left(\frac{U}{J}\right) \uparrow \text{ if } U \uparrow$



2D XY = 1D Sine-Gordon

Low-T phase of the 2D Bose Gas Classical phase fluctuations $\mu \ll T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi({\bf r}) \simeq \rho_0^{1/2}(T) \; e^{i\Theta({\bf r})} \; \stackrel{\rm v(\phi)}{\models}$ The XY model The XY model $\frac{\mathcal{H}}{T} \simeq \frac{K(T)}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{r} \, \left(\nabla\Theta(\mathbf{r})\right)^2 = \frac{K(T)}{2\pi A} \sum \mathbf{q}^2 |\Theta(\mathbf{q})|^2$ Equipartition $|\mathbf{q}^2|\Theta(\mathbf{q})|^2 \propto T \Rightarrow \langle \Theta^2(\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{0}) \rangle \propto \int d^{D=2}\mathbf{q} \left(\frac{T}{\mathbf{q}^2}\right) \propto T \log\left(\frac{A}{\xi^2}\right) \to +\infty$ Quasi-long range order $\langle \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})\Psi(\mathbf{0})\rangle \propto \langle e^{i\Theta(\mathbf{r})}e^{-i\Theta(\mathbf{0})}\rangle \propto \left(\frac{\xi}{|\mathbf{r}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2K(T)}}$

But high-T expansions yield a disordered phase $\langle e^{i\Theta(\mathbf{r})}e^{-i\Theta(\mathbf{0})}\rangle \propto e^{-|\mathbf{r}|/\xi_c(T)|}$

Not the whole story: enter vortices

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{r}) &\sim e^{i\Theta(\mathbf{r})} \\ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \operatorname{Re}\left[\Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})i\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{r})\right] \propto \nabla\Theta(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \oint \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \ n \\ E_{\operatorname{vortex}} &= \frac{m\rho_s}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\mathbf{v}_{\operatorname{vortex}}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2 \simeq \frac{\hbar^2 \pi \rho_s}{m} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{A}}{\xi}\right) \quad |\mathbf{v}_{\operatorname{vortex}}(\mathbf{r})| \sim \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|} \\ S_{\operatorname{vortex}} &\simeq \log\left(\frac{A}{\xi^2}\right) \end{split}$$

$$F_{\text{vortex}} = E_{\text{vortex}} - T S_{\text{vortex}}$$

$$T_c^{\text{KT}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi \rho_s}{2m} \Rightarrow K(T_c^{\text{KT}}) = 2$$

$$R = \frac{1}{2m} K T_c^{\text{KT}}$$

BKT predict a (non-Landau) <u>continuous</u> phase transition between a QLR ordered and a disordered phase <u>mediated by vortex-anti-vortex unbinding</u>

Coupling XY models

BKT-like physics in a stack of 2D Bose Gases

SF to MI in quasi-1D optical lattices [T Stöferle *et al* PRL 92 (2004)]



The ENS Experiment



b Imaging beam [Z Hadzibabic et al Nature (2006)]

Interference pattern

$$F(x,z) = G(x,z) \Big[1 + c(x) \cos(2\pi z / D + \varphi(x)) \Big]$$

Random phase $\langle F(x,z) \rangle = f(x)$

 $c_0 = Average c(0)$ as a thermometer



The ENS Experiment





11

Two-dimensional Bose gas (pancake)

Two-dimensional Bose gas (pancake)

Deep one-dimensional optical lattice



Deep one-dimensional optical lattice



Fixing the phase: calling Mr. Josephson





 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \sim e^{i\Theta(\mathbf{r})}$ $H_J = -t_{\perp} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\Psi_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_2(\mathbf{r}) + h.c. \right] \simeq -2t_{\perp} \rho_0(T) \int d\mathbf{r} \cos \left[\Theta_1(\mathbf{r}) - \Theta_2(\mathbf{r})\right]$

Mr Josephson is happy when $\Theta_1(\mathbf{r}) = \Theta_2(\mathbf{r}) \pmod{2\pi}$ for all \mathbf{r} However

Entropy wants to have <u>both</u> $\Theta_1(\mathbf{r}), \Theta_2(\mathbf{r})$ disordered

Phase diagram. Deconfinement



Interference pattern

1 2










Proof of relative coherence but not of superfluidity...

Response to slow rotation Minimize to find the equilibrium state $F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$ $H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} - \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{i} \times \mathbf{p}_{i}) + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$

$$F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{i} - e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)^{2}}{2m} + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) - \frac{m}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$
$$e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = m\left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right)$$

Minimize to find the equilibrium state

$$F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{i} - e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)^{2}}{2m} + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) - \frac{m}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$
$$e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = m\left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right)$$

 $\Omega L_z = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Linear response to a transverse field } (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{q}) = 0)$

$$F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{i} - e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)^{2}}{2m} + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) - \frac{m}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$
$$e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = m\left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right)$$

$$\Omega L_{z} = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Linear response to a transverse field } (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{q}) = 0)$$

$$\langle L_{z} \rangle_{\Omega \ll \mu} \simeq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} r_{\alpha} \langle j_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) j_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \, A_{\Omega}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$\langle j_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) j_{\beta}(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle = f_{||}(q, T) \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} + f_{\perp}(q, T) \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} \right)$$

$$F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{i} - e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)^{2}}{2m} + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) - \frac{m}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$
$$e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = m\left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right)$$

$$\Omega L_{z} = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Linear response to a transverse field } (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{q}) = 0)$$

$$\langle L_{z} \rangle_{\Omega \ll \mu} \simeq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} r_{\alpha} \langle j_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) j_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \, A_{\Omega}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$\langle j_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) j_{\beta}(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle = f_{||}(q, T) \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} + \int f_{\perp}(q, T) \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} \right)$$

$$F(\Omega, T) = -T \log \operatorname{Tr} e^{-H_{\mathrm{ROT}}(\Omega)/T}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}(\Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{i} - e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)^{2}}{2m} + u(\mathbf{r}_{i}) - \frac{m}{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i < j}^{N} V(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})$$
$$e\mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) = m\left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \Omega L_z &= \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{Linear response to a transverse field } (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{q}) = 0 \\ &\langle L_z \rangle_{\Omega \ll \mu} \simeq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} r_{\alpha} \langle j_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) j_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \, A_{\Omega}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') \\ &\langle j_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) j_{\beta}(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle = f_{||}(q, T) \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^2} + \int f_{\perp}(q, T) \left(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}}{\mathbf{q}^2} \right) \\ &\Omega \to 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{q \to 0} f_{\perp}(q, T) \propto \rho - \rho_s, \\ &\rho_s \propto K_{+}(T) \quad \text{can be obtained from the RG flow} \end{split}$$

Rotational response

 $L = I\Omega$ ($\Omega \ll \mu$) Measure the frequency of the scissors mode [F Zambelli and S Stringari PRA 63 (2001)]



Fast rotation

$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{IIIIIIIIIII} & N \gg 1 \\ \mbox{$G \gg N$} \end{array}$



























[Madison et al. PRL <u>84</u> (2000), Abo-Shaeer et al. Science <u>476</u> (2001)]

What happens next?

$$M\left(\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}\right)_{\rm ROT} = \mathbf{F}_{\rm ext} + \mathbf{F}_{c} + \mathbf{F}_{c}$$

Harmonic trap

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{C}} = -M(\omega^2 - \Omega^2) \mathbf{r}_{\perp} - M\omega_{\parallel}^2 z \,\hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

Confinement in the rotation plane is greatly reduced: The system becomes quasi-2D

Coriolis force vs. Lorentz force

$$\mathbf{F}_c = \mathbf{v} \times (2M\mathbf{\Omega}) \Leftrightarrow F_{\mathrm{L}} = \mathbf{v} \times q\mathbf{B}$$

Coriolis force like an effective magnetic field: Landau levels?

(Rotational) Landau levels (LL's)

$$H_{\rm ROT} = H_{\rm LAB} - \Omega L_z$$

(Rotational) Landau levels (LL's)



(Rotational) Landau levels (LL's)



Interactions in the Lowest Landau level (LLL)

$$H_{\text{ROT}} = H_{\text{LAB}} - \Omega L_z \qquad \qquad H_{\text{int}}$$

$$H_{\text{ROT}}^{2D} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p}_j - M\omega \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{r}_j)^2}{2M} + (\omega - \Omega) L_{zj} \right] + g_{2D} \sum_{i < j} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$$

$$\mu, T << \hbar \omega_{||} \qquad \mu, T \ll 2\hbar \omega$$

$$H_{\text{LLL}}^{2D} = N\hbar\omega + (\omega - \Omega) L_z + g_{2D} \sum_{i < j} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$$

Wave functions in the LLL are analytic functions of z = x + i y

The fate of Abrikosov lattice: melting and VL's [N Cooper et al PRL (2001)]



Vortex-liquid states on the sphere

[N Reignault and Th Jolicoeur PRL (2003)]



'Simple' vortex liquids: wavefunctions

Laughlin state
$$[L_0 = N(N-1)]$$
 $z = (x+iy)/\ell$ $\left(\ell = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{M\omega}}\right)$
 $\Phi_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \left\{\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^2\right\} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N |z_i|^2/2}$
 $H_{\text{int}} |\Phi_0\rangle = 0$

Composite Fermion (CF) states $\Phi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N}) = \mathcal{P} \left\{ \prod_{i < j} (z_{i} - z_{j}) \det \left[\varphi_{\alpha_{i}}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \cdots \varphi_{\alpha_{N}}(\mathbf{r}_{N}) \right] \right\}$ $\nu^{-1} = \nu_{CF}^{-1} + 1 = 1 + \frac{1}{p} \quad (N \to +\infty)$ $H_{int} |\Phi_{0}\rangle \neq 0$ $H_{int} |\Phi_{0}\rangle \neq 0$ $H_{int} |\Phi_{0}\rangle \neq 0$

Composite fermion states

[JK Jain in Perspectives in the Quantum Hall Effects (Wiley, 1997)]



bound state of boson + vortex = Composite Fermion (CF)

States of CF's: a CF 'feels' a reduced Coriolis force

$$\Phi_B(\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots \mathbf{r}_N) = \mathcal{P}\left[\prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) \Phi_{CF}(\mathbf{r}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_N)\right]$$

Filling = 1/2, 2/3, 3/4 ...
Slater determinant of CF

Vortex-liquid states on the sphere

[C-C Chang et al., cond-mat/0412253]

ν	N	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}}^2$	L	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ex}}^2$	ν	N	\mathcal{O}_{gr}^2	L	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ex}}^2$	ν	N	$\mathcal{O}^2_{ m gr}$	L	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{ex}}^2$	ν	N	\mathcal{O}_{gr}^2
1/2	4	1.0000	4	0.9972	2/3	4	1.0000	2	1.0000	3/4	9	0.8084(73)	4	0.5613(48)	1	4	1.00000
	5	1.0000	4	0.9965		6	0.9850	4	0.7544(05)		12	0.735(84)	6	0.480(62)		6	0.97279
	6	1.0000	5	0.9959		8	0.9820(10)	5	0.8701(14)							8	0.96687
	$\overline{7}$	1.0000	5	0.9954		10	0.9724(89)	6	0.855(12)							10	0.95922
	8	1.0000	6	0.9945												12	0.88435
	9	1.000	6	0.9954(2)												14	0.88580
La	aug	ghlin	Jain sequence												Ι	Pfa	ffian
ν	_	$=\frac{1}{2}$	$ u = \frac{2}{3} $												\mathcal{L}	/ =	= 1

Laughlin state: edge modes

[X-G Wen, PRL 64 (1990) Int J of Mod Phys B (1992), AH MacDonald, PRL 64 (1990)]

Motivation: Detection of the vortex liquids



A chiral Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid [U(1) CFT c = 1]

$$\delta\rho(\theta) = \rho_0 Rh(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{2\pi} \partial_\theta \phi(\theta) \quad \left(\rho_0 = \frac{\nu}{\pi\ell^2}\right)$$
$$H_{\text{edge}} = E_0 + \frac{\hbar(\omega - \Omega)}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left(\partial_\theta \phi(\theta)\right)^2 = \hbar(\omega - \Omega)L$$

$$L = L_0 + \sum_{m>0} m b_m^{\dagger} b_m \quad \left[b_l, b_m^{\dagger} \right] = \delta_{l,m}$$

Laughlin state: edge theory predictions



[MAC, N Barberan, NR Cooper PRB <u>71</u>R (2004)] Similar studies for electrons by [JJ Palacios & AH MacDonald, PRL <u>76</u> (1996)]

Laughlin state: edge mode wavefunctions

Consider the symmetric polynomials:

$$s_m = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_m} \quad \left(s_m = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^m \right)$$

 $\Phi_m = s_m \ \Phi_0(z_1, \dots, z_N) \quad L = L_0 + m \quad E = E_0 + \hbar(\omega - \Omega)m$ degeneracy: $p(m)(p(1) = 1, p(2) = 2, p(3) = 3, p(4) = 5, p(5) = 7, \dots)$

Compare with the quasi-hole excitation

$$\Phi_{\rm qh} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^N z_i\right) \Phi_0(z_1, \dots, z_N) \quad L = L_0 + N \quad E = E_0 + \hbar(\omega - \Omega)N$$

Provided that $m \ll N$ the s_m are the low-lying excitations

Composite fermion states: edge modes



Compact CF states: edge spectrum

[MAC, N Barberan, NR Cooper PRB 71R (2005)]


Pfaffian or Moore-Read State

[G Moore & N Read Nucl. Phys. B (1991)]

As the number of occupied CF LL's grows... $p \to +\infty \Rightarrow \nu = \frac{p}{p+1} \to 1$

Composite Fermion (Fermi) liquid?→ compressible liquid

Composite Fermion pairing? → incompressible liquid again!

$$\Phi_{0}(z_{1},\ldots,z_{N}) = \prod_{i< j} (z_{i}-z_{j}) \left[\frac{1}{2^{N/2}(N/2)!} \sum_{P \in S_{N}} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \prod_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{z_{P(2k-1)}-z_{P(2k)}} \right]$$

BCS wavefunction for p-wave paired (spinless) CF's \rightarrow Pf $\left[\frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}} \right]$
$$H_{\operatorname{int}}^{(3)} = g_{3} \sum_{i< j< k} \delta(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j})\delta(\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}) \quad H_{\operatorname{int}}^{(3)} |\Phi_{0}\rangle = 0$$

[M Greiter, X-G Wen, and F Wilczek PRL (1991)]

Edge excitations of the Pfaffian

[M Milovanovic & N Read PRB (1996), X-G Wen PRL (1992)]

$$\Phi_0(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) \left[\frac{1}{2^{N/2} (N/2)!} \sum_{P \in S_N} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \prod_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{z_{P(2k-1)} - z_{P(2k)}} \right]$$

Fermionic-like excitations

$$\Phi_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_F} = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) \frac{2^{-(N-F)/2}}{((N-F)/2)!} \sum_{P \in S_N} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \left[\frac{z_{P(1)}^{n_1} z_{P(2)}^{n_2} \cdots z_{P(F)}^{n_F}}{(z_{P(F+1)} - z_{P(F+2)}) \cdots (z_{P(N-1)} - z_{P(N)})} \right]$$

$$H_{\text{edge}} = E_0 + \hbar (\omega - \Omega) L$$

$$L = L_0 + \sum_{m>0} \left[m b_m^{\dagger} b_m + (m - \frac{1}{2}) c_{m-\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} c_{m-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \quad \left\{ c_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, c_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} \right\} = \delta_{n,m}$$

$$\mathbf{Ising} \times \mathbf{U}(1) \mathbf{CFT}$$

Even/odd effects in the Pfaffian

The paired nature of the state leads to even/odd effects. E.g. it can be seen in the orbital occupancy:



Edge excitation degeneracies of the Pfaffian

[MAC, N Barberan, NR Cooper PRB 71R (2005)]

$m = L - L_0$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Laughlin $(N = 5, L = 20)$	1	1	2	3	5	7	10
Laughlin $(N = 6, L = 30)$	1	1	2	3	5	7	11
Laughlin $(N \to \infty)$	1	1	2	3	5	7	11
$\{4, 2\}$ CF $(N = 6, L = 20)$	1	2	5	8			
$\{5, 2\} \text{ CF } (N = 7, L = 30)$	1	2	5	9	15		
Jain $\nu = \frac{2}{3} \ (N \to \infty)$	1	2	5	10	20	36	65
Moore-Read ($\lambda = 0, N = 8, L = 24$)	1	1	3	5	10	15	
Moore-Read $(\lambda = 0, \text{ even } N \to \infty)$	1	1	3	5	10	16	28
Moore-Read ($\lambda = 1, N = 12, L = 60$)	1	4	10	21			
Moore-Read ($\lambda = 0, N = 7, L = 18$)	1	2	4	7	12		
Moore-Read $(\lambda = 0, \text{ odd } N \to \infty)$	1	2	4	7	13	21	35
Moore-Read ($\lambda = 1, N = 13, L = 72$)	1	6	14	29			

 $H_{\rm int} = \lambda H_{\rm int}^{(2)} + (1 - \lambda) H_{\rm int}^{(3)}$

Pfaffian: Energy plateaux in $E_0(L)$ vs L

[MAC, N Barberan, NR Cooper PRB 71R (2005)]



No wide plateaux in the interaction energy (for 4 < N < 14)!!

Pfaffian: Overlaps

k	ν	$(K_x, K_y) \times \text{degeneracy}$	$ \langle \Psi^{(k)} \Psi angle $	$ \langle \Psi^{GP} \mid \Psi \rangle $
1	1/2 (Laughlin)	(0,0) imes 2	1.000	0.555
2	1 (Moore-Read)	$(3,3) \times 1$	0.989	N/W
2	1 (Moore-Read)	$(3,0) \times 1$	0.982	0.408
2	1 (Moore-Read)	$(0,3) \times 1$	0.981	0.493

Torus(PBC) [N Cooper et al. PRL (2001)]

Sphere

ν	N	$\mathcal{O}_{ m gr}^2$
1	4	1.00000
	6	0.97279
	8	0.96687
	10	0.95922
	12	0.88435
	14	0.88580

[C-C Chang et al., cond-mat/0412253]

Harmonic trap (disk)

N	$ \langle \Phi_{\mathrm{MR}} \Phi(L_0) \rangle $
5	0.913
6	0.896
7	0.805
8	0.676
9	0.742

[MAC, N Barberan, NR Cooper PRB <u>71</u>R (2005)]

Experimental consequences

Total oscillator strength at $L = L_0 + m$ (can be measured by absorption spectroscopy)

$$\begin{aligned} f_m &= \sum_{\alpha} |\langle L_0 + m, \alpha | O_m | L_0 \rangle|^2 = R^{2m} \nu \ m = m N^m \nu^{1-m} \\ O_m &= \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^m \sim R^m \ e^{im\theta} & \frac{f_2}{2f_1^2} = \nu^{-1} \\ \hline \frac{\text{STATE}}{\text{Laugnlin}} \ \left(\nu = \frac{1}{2} \right) & 5 (5) \ 94.5 (100) & 5.5\% \\ \text{Laugnlin} \ \left(\nu = \frac{1}{2} \right) & 6 (6) \ 138.2 (144) & 4.0\% \\ \text{Pfaffian} \ \left(\nu = 1 \right) & 5 (5) \ 44.6 (50) & 10.8\% \\ \text{Pfaffian} \ \left(\nu = 1 \right) & 6 (6) \ 65.3 (72) & 9.3\% \\ \{4, 2\}, \ \left(\nu = \frac{2}{3} \right) & 6 (6) \ 96.9 (108) & 10.2\% \\ \{5, 2\}, \ \left(\nu = \frac{2}{3} \right) & 7 (7) \ 141.5 (147) & 3.7\% \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

Collaborators:

Andrew F. Ho (Imperial College) Anibal Iucci (University of Geneva) Thierry Giamarchi (University of Geneva) Nigel R. Cooper (Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge)

Funding: ICTP, Gipuzkoako Foru Aldundia, MEC,...