

Functional inequalities and asymptotics of solutions to weighted porous media equations

Gabriele Grillo - Politecnico di Milano (Italy)

joint work with Matteo Muratori - Maria Michaela Porzio

Pisa, July 2, 2012

Functional inequalities and asymptotics of linear semigroups-a short reminder

Functional inequalities and asymptotics of linear semigroups-a short reminder

Consider a linear, self-adjoint, nonnegative operator L on $L^2(X, m)$, its associated semigroup $T_t = e^{-tL}$, its quadratic form $\mathcal{E}(u) = \|L^{1/2}u\|_2^2$ on $D(\mathcal{E}) := \text{Dom } L^{1/2}$.

Functional inequalities and asymptotics of linear semigroups-a short reminder

Consider a linear, self-adjoint, nonnegative operator L on $L^2(X, m)$, its associated semigroup $T_t = e^{-tL}$, its quadratic form $\mathcal{E}(u) = \|L^{1/2}u\|_2^2$ on $D(\mathcal{E}) := \text{Dom } L^{1/2}$.

A well known topic in linear analysis is connecting functional inequalities involving \mathcal{E} to asymptotic properties involving the semigroup $T_t = e^{-tL}$. Among the type of inequalities considered one has, e.g.:

Functional inequalities and asymptotics of linear semigroups-a short reminder

Consider a linear, self-adjoint, nonnegative operator L on $L^2(X, m)$, its associated semigroup $T_t = e^{-tL}$, its quadratic form $\mathcal{E}(u) = \|L^{1/2}u\|_2^2$ on $D(\mathcal{E}) := \text{Dom } L^{1/2}$.

A well known topic in linear analysis is connecting functional inequalities involving \mathcal{E} to asymptotic properties involving the semigroup $T_t = e^{-tL}$. Among the type of inequalities considered one has, e.g.:

- Poincaré-type, or gap, inequalities:

$$\|f\|_2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \|f - \bar{f}\|_2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f)$$

where \bar{f} is the mean of f w.r.t. the measure m , provided it is finite. This is a spectral information on L .

- Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Suppose that $m(X) = 1$. The inequality

$$\int_X f^2 \log \left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_2} \right) dm \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E})$$

is stronger than the Poincaré inequality $\|f - \bar{f}\|_2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f)$.
A typical example is the case in which $dm = ce^{-|x|^2/2} dx$.

- Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Suppose that $m(X) = 1$. The inequality

$$\int_X f^2 \log \left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_2} \right) dm \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E})$$

is stronger than the Poincaré inequality $\|f - \bar{f}\|_2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f)$. A typical example is the case in which $dm = ce^{-|x|^2/2} dx$.

- The Nash-type inequality

$$\|f\|_2^{2+\vartheta} \leq C \mathcal{E}(f) \|f\|_1^\vartheta, \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^1.$$

It turns out that such Nash inequality is equivalent to a Sobolev-type inequality if ϑ satisfy a suitable bound.

- The Sobolev inequality ($\mu > 2$)

$$\|f\|_{2\mu/(\mu-2)}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

It can be shown that the last two inequalities are equivalent if one puts $\vartheta = 4/\mu$. They are also equivalent to *families* of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

- The Sobolev inequality ($\mu > 2$)

$$\|f\|_{2\mu/(\mu-2)}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

It can be shown that the last two inequalities are equivalent if one puts $\vartheta = 4/\mu$. They are also equivalent to *families* of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

How are these functional inequalities related to suitable properties of the semigroup T_t ?

- The Sobolev inequality ($\mu > 2$)

$$\|f\|_{2\mu/(\mu-2)}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

It can be shown that the last two inequalities are equivalent if one puts $\vartheta = 4/\mu$. They are also equivalent to *families* of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

How are this functional inequalities related to suitable properties of the semigroup T_t ?

- Poincaré inequalities in general only imply exponential decay in time of the L^2 norm of $u(t)$ or of $u(t) - \bar{u}$ (suppose that $L1 = 0$).

- The Sobolev inequality ($\mu > 2$)

$$\|f\|_{2\mu/(\mu-2)}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

It can be shown that the last two inequalities are equivalent if one puts $\vartheta = 4/\mu$. They are also equivalent to *families* of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

How are these functional inequalities related to suitable properties of the semigroup T_t ?

- Poincaré inequalities in general only imply exponential decay in time of the L^2 norm of $u(t)$ or of $u(t) - \bar{u}$ (suppose that $L1 = 0$).
- A single logarithmic Sobolev inequality implies *hypercontractivity*: for all $q > p \geq 1$ there exists $T(p, q) > 0$ such that $\|T_t\|_{p,q}$ (operator norm) is finite exactly for $t \geq T(p, q)$: there is a *waiting time* to get regularization into L^q . Solutions *need not be bounded at any time*.

- The Sobolev inequality ($\mu > 2$)

$$\|f\|_{2\mu/(\mu-2)}^2 \leq C \mathcal{E}(f), \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

It can be shown that the last two inequalities are equivalent if one puts $\vartheta = 4/\mu$. They are also equivalent to *families* of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

How are these functional inequalities related to suitable properties of the semigroup T_t ?

- Poincaré inequalities in general only imply exponential decay in time of the L^2 norm of $u(t)$ or of $u(t) - \bar{u}$ (suppose that $L1 = 0$).
- A single logarithmic Sobolev inequality implies *hypercontractivity*: for all $q > p \geq 1$ there exists $T(p, q) > 0$ such that $\|T_t\|_{p,q}$ (operator norm) is finite exactly for $t \geq T(p, q)$: there is a *waiting time* to get regularization into L^q . Solutions *need not be bounded at any time*.

- A Nash, or a Sobolev, inequality, implies *ultracontractivity*, namely to a bound of the form

$$\|T_t(u)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{t^{\mu/2}} \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in L^1 : \quad (1)$$

- A Nash, or a Sobolev, inequality, implies *ultracontractivity*, namely to a bound of the form

$$\|T_t(u)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{t^{\mu/2}} \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in L^1 : \quad (1)$$

solutions become instantaneously bounded at any time, with quantitative bounds on the rate of explosion for short t and on the rate of decay for t large. It is well-known that (1) is *equivalent* to, say, the previous Sobolev-type inequality. Versions for Neumann b.c. exist.

- A Nash, or a Sobolev, inequality, implies *ultracontractivity*, namely to a bound of the form

$$\|T_t(u)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{t^{\mu/2}} \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in L^1 : \quad (1)$$

solutions become instantaneously bounded at any time, with quantitative bounds on the rate of explosion for short t and on the rate of decay for t large. It is well-known that (1) is *equivalent* to, say, the previous Sobolev-type inequality. Versions for Neumann b.c. exist.

Similar problems have been studied for a while also in the nonlinear setting. To make an example of the kind of new phenomena which can arise, consider a p -energy functional:

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_N |\nabla u|_x^p e^V dm.$$

Here $N \subset M$, M is a manifold, m is the Riemannian measure, V a function, and Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed in a suitable sense. Consider the nonlinear semigroup associated to the subgradient of \mathcal{E}_p , provided the functional is convex and l.s.c..

Here $N \subset M$, M is a manifold, m is the Riemannian measure, V a function, and Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed in a suitable sense. Consider the nonlinear semigroup associated to the subgradient of \mathcal{E}_p , provided the functional is convex and l.s.c.. Then one has:

THEOREM (G., JDE 2010). Consider the p -Laplacian type evolution ($p > 2$) associated to \mathcal{E}_p and let $u(t)$ be a solution to such a flow. Then the functional inequality (in an appropriate space taking into account the Dirichlet b.c.)

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq C \mathcal{E}_p(u)$$

Here $N \subset M$, M is a manifold, m is the Riemannian measure, V a function, and Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed in a suitable sense. Consider the nonlinear semigroup associated to the subgradient of \mathcal{E}_p , provided the functional is convex and l.s.c.. Then one has:

THEOREM (G., JDE 2010). Consider the p -Laplacian type evolution ($p > 2$) associated to \mathcal{E}_p and let $u(t)$ be a solution to such a flow. Then the functional inequality (in an appropriate space taking into account the Dirichlet b.c.)

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq C \mathcal{E}_p(u)$$

is equivalent to the bound ($1 \leq q_0 \leq \varrho < \infty$):

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho} \leq \frac{C}{t^{(e-q_0)/[e(p-2)]}} \|u(0)\|_{q_0}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Here $N \subset M$, M is a manifold, m is the Riemannian measure, V a function, and Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed in a suitable sense. Consider the nonlinear semigroup associated to the subgradient of \mathcal{E}_p , provided the functional is convex and l.s.c.. Then one has:

THEOREM (G., JDE 2010). Consider the p -Laplacian type evolution ($p > 2$) associated to \mathcal{E}_p and let $u(t)$ be a solution to such a flow. Then the functional inequality (in an appropriate space taking into account the Dirichlet b.c.)

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq C \mathcal{E}_p(u)$$

is equivalent to the bound ($1 \leq q_0 \leq \varrho < \infty$):

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho} \leq \frac{C}{t^{(e-q_0)/[e(p-2)]}} \|u(0)\|_{q_0}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

The last bound need not hold if $\varrho = \infty$.

Weighted porous media equations-The Poincaré case

Motivated by such result, we started the study of classes of *two-weights* porous media equations, whose models are formally $(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, m > 1)$:

Weighted porous media equations-The Poincaré case

Motivated by such result, we started the study of classes of *two-weights* porous media equations, whose models are formally $(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, m > 1)$:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\nu u_t = \operatorname{div}(\rho_\mu \nabla(u^m)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Weighted porous media equations-The Poincaré case

Motivated by such result, we started the study of classes of *two-weights* porous media equations, whose models are formally $(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, m > 1)$:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\nu u_t = \operatorname{div}(\rho_\mu \nabla(u^m)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\nu u_t = \operatorname{div}(\rho_\mu \nabla(u^m)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \rho_\mu \frac{\partial(u^m)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) . \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Weighted porous media equations-The Poincaré case

Motivated by such result, we started the study of classes of *two-weights* porous media equations, whose models are formally $(\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, m > 1)$:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\nu u_t = \operatorname{div}(\rho_\mu \nabla(u^m)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_\nu u_t = \operatorname{div}(\rho_\mu \nabla(u^m)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ \rho_\mu \frac{\partial(u^m)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) . \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Here ρ_ν, ρ_μ are measurable weight in L_{loc}^∞ and s.t. $\rho_\nu^{-1}, \rho_\mu^{-1}$ are in L_{loc}^∞ (singularities or degeneracies are only “at infinity”). We set $d\nu = \varrho_\nu dx, d\mu = \varrho_\mu dx$.

The one weight case ($\rho_\mu = 1$) is thoroughly studied *for special classes of weights*, see Eidus, Kamin, Rosenau, Reyes, Vazquez.....: see e.g. Kamin, Reyes, Vazquez DCDS 2010 and references quoted.

The one weight case ($\rho_\mu = 1$) is thoroughly studied *for special classes of weights*, see Eidus, Kamin, Rosenau, Reyes, Vazquez.....: see e.g. Kamin, Reyes, Vazquez DCDS 2010 and references quoted.

I start with the definition of solution (Dirichlet case), see also Dolbeault, Gentil, Guillin, Wang, Pot. Anal. 2008. Here $v \in V_0(\Omega; \mu)$ if $v \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, $\nabla v \in L^2(\Omega; \mu)$ and there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\nabla v - \nabla \varphi_n\|_{2;\mu} \rightarrow 0$.

The one weight case ($\rho_\mu = 1$) is thoroughly studied *for special classes of weights*, see Eidus, Kamin, Rosenau, Reyes, Vazquez.....: see e.g. Kamin, Reyes, Vazquez DCDS 2010 and references quoted.

I start with the definition of solution (Dirichlet case), see also Dolbeault, Gentil, Guillin, Wang, Pot. Anal. 2008. Here $v \in V_0(\Omega; \mu)$ if $v \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, $\nabla v \in L^2(\Omega; \mu)$ and there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\nabla v - \nabla \varphi_n\|_{2;\mu} \rightarrow 0$.

Definition. A function $u \in L^1((0, T); L_{loc}^1(\Omega; \nu))$ with $u^m(t) \in V_0(\Omega; \mu)$ for a.a. t , $\nabla(u^m) \in L^1((0, T); [L^2(\Omega; \mu)]^N)$ is a weak solution of (2) with initial datum $u_0 \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega; \nu)$ if it satisfies:

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega u(\mathbf{x}, t) \eta_t(\mathbf{x}, t) d\nu dt = - \int_\Omega u_0(\mathbf{x}) \eta(\mathbf{x}, 0) d\nu + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \nabla(u^m)(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \nabla \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mu dt$$

$\forall \eta \in C^1(\Omega \times [0, T]) : \text{supp } \eta(\cdot, t) \Subset \Omega, \eta(\mathbf{x}, T) = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \forall t \in [0, T].$

Uniqueness is a delicate issue. In fact, it does *not* necessarily hold even in the linear case. But (the forthcoming results are from G., Muratori, Porzio, preprint 2012):

Uniqueness is a delicate issue. In fact, it does *not* necessarily hold even in the linear case. But (the forthcoming results are from G., Muratori, Porzio, preprint 2012):

Proposition. There exists *at most* one weak solution satisfying:
 $u^m \in L^{\frac{m+1}{m}}((0, T), V_0^{\frac{m+1}{m}}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)), \nabla(u^m) \in L^2((0, T); [L^2(\Omega; \mu)]^N)$
 $\forall T > 0$. Here $V_0^p(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\varphi\|_{p,2;\nu,\mu} = \|\varphi\|_{p;\nu} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{2;\mu}$.

Uniqueness is a delicate issue. In fact, it does *not* necessarily hold even in the linear case. But (the forthcoming results are from G., Muratori, Porzio, preprint 2012):

Proposition. There exists *at most* one weak solution satisfying:
 $u^m \in L^{\frac{m+1}{m}}((0, T), V_0^{\frac{m+1}{m}}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)), \nabla(u^m) \in L^2((0, T); [L^2(\Omega; \mu)]^N)$
 $\forall T > 0$. Here $V_0^p(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\varphi\|_{p,2;\nu,\mu} = \|\varphi\|_{p;\nu} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{2;\mu}$.

This uses a known method due to Oleĭnik test function. Such solutions, if any, are called *weak energy solutions*. This solution will be referred to as “the” solution to the problem at hand.

Uniqueness is a delicate issue. In fact, it does *not* necessarily hold even in the linear case. But (the forthcoming results are from G., Muratori, Porzio, preprint 2012):

Proposition. There exists *at most* one weak solution satisfying:
 $u^m \in L^{\frac{m+1}{m}}((0, T), V_0^{\frac{m+1}{m}}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)), \nabla(u^m) \in L^2((0, T); [L^2(\Omega; \mu)]^N)$
 $\forall T > 0$. Here $V_0^p(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\varphi\|_{p,2;\nu,\mu} = \|\varphi\|_{p;\nu} + \|\nabla\varphi\|_{2;\mu}$.

This uses a known method due to Oleĭnik test function. Such solutions, if any, are called *weak energy solutions*. This solution will be referred to as “the” solution to the problem at hand.

Proposition. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain, and let ρ_ν, ρ_μ be two sufficiently regular weights such that $\rho_\nu, \rho_\mu, \rho_\nu^{-1}, \rho_\mu^{-1} \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$. If $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu) \cap L^r(\Omega; \nu)$, with $r \geq m + 1$, then there exists a unique weak energy solution u . Moreover, given two energy solutions u, v one has

$$\int_{\Omega} (u(\mathbf{x}, T) - v(\mathbf{x}, T))_+ d\nu \leq \int_{\Omega} (u_0(\mathbf{x}) - v_0(\mathbf{x}))_+ d\nu.$$

Remark. 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.

- Remark.** 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.
- 2) Extension to data in $L^1(\nu)$ is possible through the concept of *limit solutions*.

- Remark.** 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.
- 2) Extension to data in $L^1(\nu)$ is possible through the concept of *limit solutions*.
- 3) Similar results also hold for the Neumann problem.

Remark. 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.

2) Extension to data in $L^1(\nu)$ is possible through the concept of *limit solutions*.

3) Similar results also hold for the Neumann problem.

We now consider, as a sample of results concerning the relations between functional inequalities and short time regularization of the evolution, the Neumann problem which is much less studied in the literature ($\nu(\Omega) < \infty$).

Remark. 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.

2) Extension to data in $L^1(\nu)$ is possible through the concept of *limit solutions*.

3) Similar results also hold for the Neumann problem.

We now consider, as a sample of results concerning the relations between functional inequalities and short time regularization of the evolution, the Neumann problem which is much less studied in the literature ($\nu(\Omega) < \infty$).

Theorem. If the inequality

$$\|v\|_{2;\nu} \leq W_P \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

Remark. 1) The proof uses appropriate approximating problems.

2) Extension to data in $L^1(\nu)$ is possible through the concept of *limit solutions*.

3) Similar results also hold for the Neumann problem.

We now consider, as a sample of results concerning the relations between functional inequalities and short time regularization of the evolution, the Neumann problem which is much less studied in the literature ($\nu(\Omega) < \infty$).

Theorem. If the inequality

$$\|v\|_{2;\nu} \leq W_P \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds, then the solution $u(t)$ corresponding to $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ satisfies the bound

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq K_2 \left(t^{-\frac{\varrho-q_0}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0;\nu}^{\frac{q_0}{\varrho}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0;\nu} \right) \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0$$

for all $q_0 \in (1, \infty) \cap [m-1, \infty)$, $\varrho > q_0$.

Remark. 1) The proof uses a Moser iteration technique, together with a (Gross-like) differential method which involves suitable logarithmic Sobolev inequalities deduced from the Poincaré-type inequality.

Remark. 1) The proof uses a Moser iteration technique, together with a (Gross-like) differential method which involves suitable logarithmic Sobolev inequalities deduced from the Poincaré-type inequality.

2) The assumed functional inequality is weaker than the usual Poincaré inequality. An explicit counterexample shows that no L^∞ regularization holds in general.

Remark. 1) The proof uses a Moser iteration technique, together with a (Gross-like) differential method which involves suitable logarithmic Sobolev inequalities deduced from the Poincaré-type inequality.

2) The assumed functional inequality is weaker than the usual Poincaré inequality. An explicit counterexample shows that no L^∞ regularization holds in general.

A converse implication also holds:

Remark. 1) The proof uses a Moser iteration technique, together with a (Gross-like) differential method which involves suitable logarithmic Sobolev inequalities deduced from the Poincaré-type inequality.

2) The assumed functional inequality is weaker than the usual Poincaré inequality. An explicit counterexample shows that no L^∞ regularization holds in general.

A converse implication also holds:

Theorem. If there exist a constant $K > 0$ and a given $q_0 \in [m, m+1)$ such that, for all $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$, the solution u corresponding to the initial datum u_0 satisfies the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{m+1; \nu} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{m+1-q_0}{(m+1)(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{q_0}{m+1}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right) \text{ for a.e. } t > 0,$$

Remark. 1) The proof uses a Moser iteration technique, together with a (Gross-like) differential method which involves suitable logarithmic Sobolev inequalities deduced from the Poincaré-type inequality.

2) The assumed functional inequality is weaker than the usual Poincaré inequality. An explicit counterexample shows that no L^∞ regularization holds in general.

A converse implication also holds:

Theorem. If there exist a constant $K > 0$ and a given $q_0 \in [m, m+1)$ such that, for all $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$, the solution u corresponding to the initial datum u_0 satisfies the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{m+1; \nu} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{m+1-q_0}{(m+1)(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{q_0}{m+1}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right) \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0,$$

then there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that the functional inequality

$$\|v\|_{2; \nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} + \|v\|_{\frac{q_0}{m}; \nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds as well.

Corollary. With the above notations, the bound

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\varrho-m}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{m}{\varrho};\nu}^{\frac{m}{\varrho}} + \|u_0\|_{m;\nu} \right) \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0$$

for solutions to the weighted PME, for a given $\varrho \geq m + 1$, is equivalent to the validity of the functional inequality

$$\|v\|_{2;\nu} \leq W_P \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu).$$

Corollary. With the above notations, the bound

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\varrho-m}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{m}{\varrho};\nu}^{\frac{m}{\varrho}} + \|u_0\|_{m;\nu} \right) \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0$$

for solutions to the weighted PME, for a given $\varrho \geq m + 1$, is equivalent to the validity of the functional inequality

$$\|v\|_{2;\nu} \leq W_P \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu).$$

The use of the Poincaré inequality also allow to establish asymptotic results for t large. Decay rates (polynomial) for suitable entropies are given in Dolbeault, Nazaret, Savaré (Comm. Math. Sci. 2008). Following an old idea of Alikakos and Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1981 the key Lemma consists in showing that, for Φ approximately a power and ξ with $\bar{\xi} = 0$ and $\Phi(\xi) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$ the inequality

$$\|\Phi(\xi)\|_{2;\nu} \leq C_\Phi \|\nabla \Phi(\xi)\|_{2;\mu}$$

holds. The existing proof uses compactness, which we have not, but one can proceed otherwise.

Theorem. Let $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$, $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ and $\overline{u_0} = 0$.

Theorem. Let $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$, $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ and $\bar{u}_0 = 0$. If the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2;\nu} \leq M_P \|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds, then the solution u with initial datum u_0 satisfies the following absolute bound:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_2 t^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0,$$

for any $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$.

Theorem. Let $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$, $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ and $\bar{u}_0 = 0$. If the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2;\nu} \leq M_P \|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds, then the solution u with initial datum u_0 satisfies the following absolute bound:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_2 t^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0,$$

for any $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_1 t^{-\frac{\varrho - q_0}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{\varrho}{q_0};\nu} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0.$$

Theorem. Let $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$, $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ and $\bar{u}_0 = 0$. If the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2;\nu} \leq M_P \|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds, then the solution u with initial datum u_0 satisfies the following absolute bound:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_2 t^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0,$$

for any $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_1 t^{-\frac{e-q_0}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{q_0}{e};\nu} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0.$$

If instead $\bar{u}_0 \neq 0$, we have, for an unknown constant C :

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq e^{-C|\bar{u}|^{m-1}t} \|u_0 - \bar{u}\|_{\varrho;\nu} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Theorem. Let $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$, $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ and $\bar{u}_0 = 0$. If the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2;\nu} \leq M_P \|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds, then the solution u with initial datum u_0 satisfies the following absolute bound:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_2 t^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0,$$

for any $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$. Moreover

$$\|u(t)\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq Q_1 t^{-\frac{\varrho - q_0}{\varrho(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{\frac{\varrho}{q_0};\nu} \quad \text{for a.e. } t > 0.$$

If instead $\bar{u}_0 \neq 0$, we have, for an unknown constant C :

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\varrho;\nu} \leq e^{-C|\bar{u}|^{m-1}t} \|u_0 - \bar{u}\|_{\varrho;\nu} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Convergence to the mean value in general is not uniform even if the datum is bounded, but in that case it is locally uniform.

Examples (weighted Poincaré inequalities)

For the following weights, zero-mean Poincaré inequalities hold in $W^{1,2}$ but no Sobolev-type inequality holds in the same space (see e.g. Kufner-Opic):

Examples (weighted Poincaré inequalities)

For the following weights, zero-mean Poincaré inequalities hold in $W^{1,2}$ but no Sobolev-type inequality holds in the same space (see e.g. Kufner-Opic):

- *Intervals:*

- $(x^{\beta-2}, x^\beta)$ for $\beta > 1$ on $(0, b)$ or for $\beta < 1$ on $(a, +\infty)$;
- $(\frac{1}{x}|\log x|^{\beta-2}, x|\log x|^\beta)$ for $\beta \neq 1$ on $(0, c)$, with $c \in (0, 1)$;
- $(e^{\alpha|x|}, e^{\alpha|x|})$ for $\alpha < 0$ on \mathbb{R} ;

Examples (weighted Poincaré inequalities)

For the following weights, zero-mean Poincaré inequalities hold in $W^{1,2}$ but no Sobolev-type inequality holds in the same space (see e.g. Kufner-Opic):

- *Intervals:*
 - $(x^{\beta-2}, x^\beta)$ for $\beta > 1$ on $(0, b)$ or for $\beta < 1$ on $(a, +\infty)$;
 - $(\frac{1}{x}|\log x|^{\beta-2}, x|\log x|^\beta)$ for $\beta \neq 1$ on $(0, c)$, with $c \in (0, 1)$;
 - $(e^{\alpha|x|}, e^{\alpha|x|})$ for $\alpha < 0$ on \mathbb{R} ;
- *Bounded convex domains:*
 - $(\delta^{\beta-2}, \delta^\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 2$;

Examples (weighted Poincaré inequalities)

For the following weights, zero-mean Poincaré inequalities hold in $W^{1,2}$ but no Sobolev-type inequality holds in the same space (see e.g. Kufner-Opic):

- *Intervals:*
 - $(x^{\beta-2}, x^\beta)$ for $\beta > 1$ on $(0, b)$ or for $\beta < 1$ on $(a, +\infty)$;
 - $(\frac{1}{x}|\log x|^{\beta-2}, x|\log x|^\beta)$ for $\beta \neq 1$ on $(0, c)$, with $c \in (0, 1)$;
 - $(e^{\alpha|x|}, e^{\alpha|x|})$ for $\alpha < 0$ on \mathbb{R} ;
- *Bounded convex domains:*
 - $(\delta^{\beta-2}, \delta^\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 2$;
- *The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N :*
 - $((1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2)^{\alpha-1}, (1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2)^\alpha)$ for $\alpha < 1 - \frac{N}{2}$;
 - $(e^{-a|\mathbf{x}|^2}, e^{-a|\mathbf{x}|^2})$ for $a > 0$.

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data.

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data. In Bonforte, G.(JFA 2005), it is shown that solutions corresponding to L^1 data are instantaneously smoothed out into L^∞ .

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data. In Bonforte, G.(JFA 2005), it is shown that solutions corresponding to L^1 data are instantaneously smoothed out into L^∞ .

Short time asymptotics, and long time one as well for zero mean data, are polynomial. Long-time asymptotics for non-zero mean data is exponential (see below). The existing bounds are not sharp.

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data. In Bonforte, G.(JFA 2005), it is shown that solutions corresponding to L^1 data are instantaneously smoothed out into L^∞ .

Short time asymptotics, and long time one as well for zero mean data, are polynomial. Long-time asymptotics for non-zero mean data is exponential (see below). The existing bounds are not sharp.

Consider our weighted problem with weights s.t., for some $\sigma > 1$,

$$\|v\|_{2\sigma;\nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu). \quad (4)$$

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data. In Bonforte, G.(JFA 2005), it is shown that solutions corresponding to L^1 data are instantaneously smoothed out into L^∞ .

Short time asymptotics, and long time one as well for zero mean data, are polynomial. Long-time asymptotics for non-zero mean data is exponential (see below). The existing bounds are not sharp.

Consider our weighted problem with weights s.t., for some $\sigma > 1$,

$$\|v\|_{2\sigma;\nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu). \quad (4)$$

The following results are proved in Muratori, G., preprint 2012

The Sobolev case

The Neumann problem has been considered first in Alikakos-Rostamian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1982 (no weights), for L^∞ data. In Bonforte, G.(JFA 2005), it is shown that solutions corresponding to L^1 data are instantaneously smoothed out into L^∞ .

Short time asymptotics, and long time one as well for zero mean data, are polynomial. Long-time asymptotics for non-zero mean data is exponential (see below). The existing bounds are not sharp.

Consider our weighted problem with weights s.t., for some $\sigma > 1$,

$$\|v\|_{2\sigma;\nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2;\mu} + \|v\|_{1;\nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu). \quad (4)$$

The following results are proved in Muratori, G., preprint 2012

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let inequality (4) hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. Then for the solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ with $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ the following estimate holds $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right) ,$$

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let inequality (4) hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. Then for the solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ with $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ the following estimate holds $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right),$$

The bound is sharp in the non-weighted case (Barenblatt solutions...). In the weighted case, there are explicit weights for which (4) holds for a best possible σ and such that the power of time is attained for special solutions (whose initial trace is singular "at infinity").

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let inequality (4) hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. Then for the solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$ with $q_0 \in [1, \infty)$ the following estimate holds $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right),$$

The bound is sharp in the non-weighted case (Barenblatt solutions...). In the weighted case, there are explicit weights for which (4) holds for a best possible σ and such that the power of time is attained for special solutions (whose initial trace is singular "at infinity").

Also a converse result holds true

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and suppose that there exist a constant $K > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$, $q_0 \in [m, m + 1)$ such that, for all $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$, the solution u corresponding to the initial datum u_0 satisfies $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right). \quad (5)$$

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and suppose that there exist a constant $K > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$, $q_0 \in [m, m + 1)$ such that, for all $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$, the solution u corresponding to the initial datum u_0 satisfies $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right). \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that the functional inequality

$$\|v\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} + \|v\|_{\frac{q_0}{m}; \nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu) \quad (6)$$

holds as well.

THEOREM . Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and suppose that there exist a constant $K > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$, $q_0 \in [m, m + 1)$ such that, for all $u_0 \in L^{q_0}(\Omega; \nu)$, the solution u corresponding to the initial datum u_0 satisfies $\forall t > 0$:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\infty} \leq K \left(t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu} \right). \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that the functional inequality

$$\|v\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq B \left(\|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} + \|v\|_{\frac{q_0}{m}; \nu} \right) \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu) \quad (6)$$

holds as well. In particular, the validity of (5) for some, hence all, $q_0 \geq m$ (and, a posteriori, hence for all $q_0 \geq 1$) and of (6) for $q_0 = m$, are equivalent.

As for the long-time behaviour, the bounds for zero mean data are qualitatively similar to one proved in the Poincaré case, but bounds hold in L^∞ : e.g. one can prove that, for such data and any $t \geq 0$ ($\overline{u_0} = 0$):

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq Q_1 t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \frac{1}{\left(Q_2 t + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{1-m}\right)^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(m-1)[(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)]}},$$

from which an L^∞ absolute bound in terms of $t^{-(1-m)}$ follows.

As for the long-time behaviour, the bounds for zero mean data are qualitatively similar to one proved in the Poincaré case, but bounds hold in L^∞ : e.g. one can prove that, for such data and any $t \geq 0$ ($\bar{u}_0 = 0$):

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq Q_1 t^{-\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)}} \frac{1}{\left(Q_2 t + \|u_0\|_{q_0; \nu}^{1-m}\right)^{\frac{(\sigma-1)q_0}{(m-1)[(\sigma-1)q_0 + \sigma(m-1)]}},$$

from which an L^∞ absolute bound in terms of $t^{-(1-m)}$ follows.

The situation is more interesting for non-zero mean solutions. In fact, the only existing result in the weighted, Neumann-type case is due to Kamin and Rosenau (CPAM 1982, 1-dim). They prove, for some explicit weights, *local* uniform convergence to the mean value. As we saw in the Poincaré case, data with compact support may generate solutions which are compactly supported for all times.

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$.

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. For any solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu)$ with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} \neq 0$ there exists a constant G such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq G e^{-\frac{m}{C_P^2} |\bar{u}|^{m-1} t} \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. For any solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu)$ with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} \neq 0$ there exists a constant G such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq G e^{-\frac{m}{C_P^2} |\bar{u}|^{m-1} t} \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

C_P being the smallest constant such that the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2; \nu} \leq C_P \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds.

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. For any solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu)$ with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} \neq 0$ there exists a constant G such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq G e^{-\frac{m}{C_P^2} |\bar{u}|^{m-1} t} \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

C_P being the smallest constant such that the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2; \nu} \leq C_P \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds. In particular, the support of a solution corresponding to a compactly supported datum blows up in finite time.

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. For any solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu)$ with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} \neq 0$ there exists a constant G such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq G e^{-\frac{m}{C_P^2} |\bar{u}|^{m-1} t} \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

C_P being the smallest constant such that the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2; \nu} \leq C_P \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds. In particular, the support of a solution corresponding to a compactly supported datum blows up in finite time.

The bounds are sharp in the non-weighted case (a matching lower bound holds for some solutions) and the rate is the one predicted by linearization in all cases.

THEOREM. Let $\nu(\Omega) < \infty$ and let the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2\sigma; \nu} \leq C_S \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

hold true for some $\sigma > 1$. For any solution u corresponding to an initial datum $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega; \nu)$ with $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u} \neq 0$ there exists a constant G such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|u(t) - \bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq G e^{-\frac{m}{C_P^2} |\bar{u}|^{m-1} t} \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

C_P being the smallest constant such that the inequality

$$\|v - \bar{v}\|_{2; \nu} \leq C_P \|\nabla v\|_{2; \mu} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \nu, \mu)$$

holds. In particular, the support of a solution corresponding to a compactly supported datum blows up in finite time.

The bounds are sharp in the non-weighted case (a matching lower bound holds for some solutions) and the rate is the one predicted by linearization in all cases.

Examples (weighted Sobolev inequalities)

- *Intervals:*

- (x^α, x^β) on $(0, b)$ ($b > 0$): $\beta > 1$, $\alpha > \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- (x^α, x^β) on $(a, +\infty)$ ($a > 0$): $\beta < 1$, $\alpha < \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- $\left(\frac{1}{x}|\log x|^\alpha, x|\log x|^\beta\right)$ on $(0, c)$ ($c \in (0, 1)$): $\beta < 1$, $\alpha < \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- $(e^{\alpha|x|}, e^{\beta|x|})$ on \mathbb{R} : $\beta < 0$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right]$.

Examples (weighted Sobolev inequalities)

- *Intervals:*

- (x^α, x^β) on $(0, b)$ ($b > 0$): $\beta > 1$, $\alpha > \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- (x^α, x^β) on $(a, +\infty)$ ($a > 0$): $\beta < 1$, $\alpha < \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- $\left(\frac{1}{x} |\log x|^\alpha, x |\log x|^\beta\right)$ on $(0, c)$ ($c \in (0, 1)$): $\beta < 1$, $\alpha < \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-1}\right]$;
- $(e^{\alpha|x|}, e^{\beta|x|})$ on \mathbb{R} : $\beta < 0$, $\alpha < \beta$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right]$.

- *Bounded Lipschitz domains ($N > 1$):*

- $(\delta^\alpha, \delta^\beta)$: $\beta \leq 1$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \min\left(\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{\alpha+N}{N-1}\right)\right]$ OR $\beta > 1$, $\alpha > \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \min\left(\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{\alpha+N}{\beta+N-2}\right)\right]$ (δ denotes the distance function from $\partial\Omega$).

- The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N ($N > 1$):
 - $((1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^\alpha, (1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^\beta)$: $\beta \geq 2 - N$, $\alpha < -N$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{N}{N-2}\right]$
 OR $\beta < 2 - N$, $\alpha < \beta - 2$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \min\left(\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{\alpha+N}{\beta+N-2}\right)\right]$;
 - $(e^{\alpha|\mathbf{x}|}, e^{\beta|\mathbf{x}|})$: $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha < 0$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \frac{N}{N-2}\right]$ OR $\beta < 0$,
 $\alpha < \beta$ and $\sigma \in \left(1, \min\left(\frac{N}{N-2}, \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\right]$.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!