

1) Singular Local Objects

⇒ "equational" divergence + resurgence

⇒ first Bridge Equation (BE_1)

|| L'Equation du Pont et la classification analytique des Objets Locaux (Orsay 1985)

|| Six lectures on Transseries, Analyzable Functions and the Constructive Proof of Dulac's Conjecture (Kluwer, 1993)

2) Singular Parameters

⇒ "coequational" divergence + resurgence

⇒ second and third Bridge Equations (BE_2, BE_3)

|| Weighted products and parametric resurgence (Travaux en Cours, 47, 1994)

|| Recent advances in the analysis of divergence and singularities (Kluwer, 2004)

3) Arithmetical "diporphy"

⇒ the "flexion structure"

⇒ the quest for canonical irreducibles.

The basic Bridge Equation.

$BE \sim BE_1$

$$\Delta_\omega y(z, u) = A_\omega y(z, u)$$

Ingredient 1: the formal integral $y(z, u)$ with critical variable z and a complete set of parameters $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$.

For a local differential/difference/functional equation/system,

y is simply a parameter-substituted formal solution.

For a local vector field/diffeomorphism, it is the formal change of chart $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \rightarrow (z, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ that takes it to the form $\partial/\partial z$ or $z \rightarrow z+1$.

Ingredient 2: the alien derivations Δ_ω .

They are exotic derivation operators which:

- * measure the Stokes constants (in the multiplicative plane z)
- * evaluate the singularities over ω (in the Borel-conjugate plane ξ)

Ingredient 3: the holomorphic invariants A_ω .

They are ordinary (first order) differential operators in z and u .

They are:

- * analytic invariants, i.e. invariant under analytic changes of chart
- * holomorphic invariants, i.e. they depend holomorphically on the local object (within a given formal class)
- * non-formal invariants, i.e. they depend effectively on a infinity of Taylor coefficients (no finite jet of the local object suffices).

(2) Standard // organic alien derivations. (2)

Borel transform (inverse Laplace tr.): $\begin{cases} z^\infty \rightarrow \zeta \\ z^{-n} \rightarrow \zeta^{n-1}/(n-1)! \end{cases}$

$$\varphi(z) \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \varphi(z) e^{z\zeta} dz$$

General alien derivations. Index $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\Delta_\omega \hat{\varphi}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm} d^{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)} \hat{\varphi}^{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)}(\zeta + \omega)$$

Define algebraic constraints on the coefficient $d^{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)}$

Standard alien derivations: don't depend on the increments ω_i :

$$d^{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)} := \varepsilon_n \frac{p! q!}{(p+q+1)!} = \varepsilon_n \frac{p! q!}{p! q!} \begin{cases} p := \text{nb of } + \text{ in } \varepsilon \\ q := \text{nb of } - \text{ in } \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Organic alien derivations: preserve exponential growth.

$$d^{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)}_{(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n \cdot \frac{\omega_{p+1}}{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n} & \text{if } \varepsilon = (\overbrace{+ \dots +}^p \overbrace{- \dots -}^q \varepsilon_n) \\ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n \cdot \frac{\omega_{q+1}}{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n} & \text{if } \varepsilon = (\overbrace{- \dots -}^q \overbrace{+ \dots +}^p \varepsilon_n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

P₁ The operators Δ_ω are derivations, i.e.

$$\Delta_\omega (\hat{\varphi}_1 * \hat{\varphi}_2) = (\Delta_\omega \hat{\varphi}_1) * \hat{\varphi}_2 + \hat{\varphi}_1 * (\Delta_\omega \hat{\varphi}_2) \quad (\text{in } \zeta\text{-plane})$$

$$\Delta_\omega (\varphi_1 \varphi_2) = (\Delta_\omega \varphi_1) \cdot \varphi_2 + \varphi_1 \cdot (\Delta_\omega \varphi_2) \quad (\text{in } z\text{-plane})$$

P₂ Setting $\Delta_\omega := e^{-\omega z} \Delta_\omega$ we have $[\Delta_\omega, z] = 0$ (in z -plane)

P₃ Standard // organic: free + coupled systems. Conversion rule.

1. Shape of the index reservoir Ω (Resurgence "lattice").

- * Always enumerable.
- * Spanned by "multipliers": λ_i
- * For a vector field: $\omega = \sum u_i \lambda_i$ with $u_i \geq -1$
- * For a diffeo: $\omega = u_0 \lambda_0 + \sum u_i \lambda_i$ with $\lambda_0 = \ln$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. Shape of the invariants A_ω . $E(y) = 0 \Rightarrow E(y/\Delta_\omega y) = 0$

Two formal constraints: must preserve the general shape of the formal integral ("entire" dependence in u ; exponential factors $e^{-u\tau}$ accompanying the a_i). + Growth constraints in ω .

3. Complete systems of holomorphic invariants:

The **BE** always yields such systems.

4. Free systems of holomorphic invariants.

The **BE** relative to ${}^{org} \Delta_\omega$ ("origenic" choice) yields invariants ${}^{org} A_\omega$ subject only to having (i) the proper shape (ii) exponential growth in ω .

5. Applications: sectorial normalisation; fractional iteration, etc.

6. "Display" and transcendence. (order reversal!)

$$\{\Delta_\omega y = A_\omega y \text{ and } [\Delta_{\omega_1}, A_{\omega_2}] = 0\} \Rightarrow \{\Delta_{\omega_2} \dots \Delta_{\omega_1} y = A_{\omega_1} \dots A_{\omega_2} y\}$$

$$\Rightarrow display(y) = y + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{\omega_i} \Delta_{\omega_r} \dots \Delta_{\omega_1} y \mathbb{Z}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{R(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0\} \Rightarrow \{R(display(y_1), \dots, display(y_n)) = 0\}$$

7. Canonical Object Synthesis with "twist" ϵ : by "reversing **BE**."

8. Obstructions to "twistless" synthesis ($\epsilon = 0$). Given by the "Drawbridge Equation" **BE*** strikingly similar to **BE**.

(4) Ex1 and 2: simply resonant vector fields/diffeos. (4)

Singular local object: vector field (Ex1) or diffeo (Ex2).

Ex1:
$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (\lambda_j y_j + o(y)) \partial_{y_j} \quad \text{with } \begin{cases} \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\nu-1} \text{ } \mathbb{Q}\text{-indep.} \\ \lambda_{\nu} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Ex2:
$$f: \begin{cases} y_j \rightarrow l_j y_j + o(y) & (j=1, \dots, \nu-1) \\ y_{\nu} \rightarrow y_{\nu} + o(y) & (l_j = e^{\lambda_j}) \end{cases}$$

Formal integral: Ex1 and Ex2:

$$y(z, u) = \{ y_j(z, u_1, \dots, u_{\nu-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}, e^{\lambda_1 \frac{z}{u_1}}, \dots, e^{\lambda_{\nu-1} \frac{z}{u_{\nu-1}}}] \}$$

(or a slight modification if $\rho \neq 0$; $\rho =$ iterates residue).

Index reservoir Ω :

Ex1:
$$\omega = n_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + n_{\nu-1} \lambda_{\nu-1}$$

Ex2:
$$\omega = n_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + n_{\nu-1} \lambda_{\nu-1} + n_0 \lambda_0 \quad (\lambda_0 := 2\pi i)$$

with $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$; $n_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$; and at most one n_i equal to -1

Holomorphic invariants:

Ex1:
$$A_{\omega} = u_1^{n_1} \dots u_{\nu-1}^{n_{\nu-1}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} A_{\omega}^i u_i \partial_{u_i} \right\}$$

Ex2:
$$A_{\omega} = u_1^{n_1} \dots u_{\nu-1}^{n_{\nu-1}} e^{-n_0 \lambda_0 z} \left\{ A_{\omega}^0 \partial_z + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu-1} A_{\omega}^i u_i \partial_{u_i} \right\}$$

with scalar coefficients $A_{\omega}^i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Applications: Criteria for analytic conjugacy; Poincaré iteration etc... Sectorial resummation; description of "borderline behaviour" etc...

5) Ex 3: multiple resonance. Identity-tangent diffeos. 5

Local, identity-tangent diffeo on \mathbb{C}_0^v :

$$f: y \mapsto y + Q_f(y) + o(y^2) \quad (i=1, \dots, v)$$

with generic quadratic forms Q_1, \dots, Q_v .

Eigenradii γ : they are the fixed points $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_v)$

of the mapping $y_i \mapsto Q_i(y)$; $\mathbb{P}^{v-1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{v-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Formal integral: to each γ there answers a formal integral $y = \gamma y$

$$y(z, u) = \{ y_i(z, u_1, \dots, u_{v-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}, u_1 z^{\gamma_1}, \dots, u_{v-1} z^{\gamma_{v-1}}]] \}$$

with $z \sim z$; $z \equiv z + \rho \log z$; and well-defined formal invariants $\tilde{\gamma}_j = \tilde{\gamma}(\gamma)$

Index reservoir Ω : $\omega = n_0 \lambda_0$ with $\lambda_0 = 2\pi i$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.

Holomorphic invariants:

$$A_\omega = e^{-\omega z} \left\{ A_\omega^0(u) z_\omega + \sum_{i=1}^{v-1} A_\omega^i(u) z_{\omega_i} \right\}$$

with $A_\omega^0(u), \dots, A_\omega^{v-1}(u) \in \mathbb{C}[[u_1, \dots, u_{v-1}]]$.

Completeness and conversion rule.

Each system $\{\delta A_\omega, \omega \in \Omega\}$ attached to a given eigenradius

γ is complete, but the conversion rule:

$$\{\delta A_\omega\} \longleftrightarrow \{\delta' A_\omega\}$$

is non-algebraic (it involves a complex procedure of analytic continuation)

Applications: all geometric aspects of f . For instance:
criteria for the existence of analytic trajectories.

⑥ Ex 4: polycritical differential system.

⑥

Local analytic system:

$$* \quad \frac{1}{\mu_i} t^{1+\mu_i} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{y}_i + \lambda_i \mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{f}_i(t, \mathbf{y}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t \neq 0 \\ 1 \leq i \leq v \end{array} \right.$$

For simplicity, assume ^{formal} conjugacy to the normal system:

$$** \quad \frac{1}{\mu_i} t^{1+\mu_i} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{y}_i + \lambda_i \mathbf{y}_i = 0$$

with distinct "levels" $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_v$ and the corresponding "critical times" $z_i = t^{-\mu_i}$ ($t \neq 0; z_i \neq \infty$)

The formal integral has the predictable shape:

$$\mathbf{y}(t, \mathbf{u}) = \left\{ \mathbf{y}_i(t, u_1, \dots, u_v) \in \mathbb{C} \left[\left[t, u_1 e^{\lambda_1 t^{-\mu_1}}, u_2 e^{\lambda_2 t^{-\mu_2}}, \dots \right] \right] \right\}$$

but actual resummation is via a step-wise process, known as accelero-summation, which takes us through all successive critical times z_i , from "lowest" to "fastest".

Each critical time z_i gives rise to its own BE:

$$\Delta_{\omega}^{(z_i)} \mathbf{y}(z_i, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{A}_{\omega}^{(z_i)} \mathbf{y}(z_i, \mathbf{u})$$

with indices ω spanned by the corresponding multipliers λ_j (i.e. $\mu_j \equiv \mu_i$) and with invariants \mathbf{A}_{ω} involving (inside their coefficients) all the parameters u_j linked to the previous "slower" critical times (i.e. $\mu_j < \mu_i$).

Together, all these \mathbf{A}_{ω} constitute a complete + free system of holomorphic invariants.

Remark: polysummations.

(7)

Gist of proofs.

(7)

Proofs are mainly based on explicit expansions of the formal integral and its holomorphic invariants:

$$(*) \quad y(z, u) = \left\{ \text{id} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{\omega_i \in \Omega} W^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} \binom{r}{\omega_r} B_{\omega_r} \dots B_{\omega_1} \right\} \cdot z$$

$$(**) \quad A_\omega = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\omega = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_r} W^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} [B_{\omega_r} \dots [B_{\omega_2}, B_{\omega_1}]]$$

These expansions involve three ingredients:

(i) the Taylor coefficients of the local object under investigation, suitably encoded as ordinary differential operators B_ω (of order 1).

(ii) resurgence monomials $W^i(z)$ that behave simply under alien // ordinary derivation.

(iii) resurgence monics W^o which are transcendental constants produced by the resurgence monomials under alien derivation.

Convergence in these expansions is usually straightforward (though it sometimes calls for a suitable re-ordering of terms known as "arborescence") because the whole "divergence" is carried by the critical variable z and absorbed into the resurgence monomials.

Remark: the derivation of the Bridge Equations itself is even simpler:
 $\| R(\rho) = 0 \Rightarrow \| R^*(\rho, \Delta_{\text{sol}}) = 0 \|$.

8) Hyperlogarithmic monomials / monics. "Dimorphy". 8

Simplest, most basic system. Splits into 2 classes: \mathcal{I} -friendly // Δ -friendly.

\mathcal{I} -friendly monomials $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z})$. Characterised by induction:

$$(\partial_{\mathbb{Z}} + \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n) \mathcal{U}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n}(\mathbb{Z}) = -\mathcal{U}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}}(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Associated monics \mathcal{V}^* . Characterised by:

$$\Delta_{\omega_0} \mathcal{U}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n}(\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_{\omega_0 = \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_i} \mathcal{V}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_i} \mathcal{U}^{\omega_{i+1}, \dots, \omega_n}(\mathbb{Z})$$

Δ -friendly monomials $\mathcal{U}^*(\mathbb{Z})$.

Characterised by: $-\mathcal{U}^*(\mathbb{Z}) \circ \mathcal{V}^* = \mathcal{U}^*(\mathbb{Z})$

Δ -friendliness: $\Delta_{\omega_0} \mathcal{U}^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n}(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega_0 \neq \omega_1 \\ \mathcal{U}^{\omega_2, \dots, \omega_n}(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } \omega_0 = \omega_1 \end{cases}$

Associated monics \mathcal{U}^* :

Characterised by: $\mathcal{U}^* \circ \mathcal{V}^* = I^*$

Symmetries: the monomials $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{U}^*(\mathbb{Z})$ are symmetrical
the monics $\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{U}^*$ are alternal

This basic system of monomials / monics is called "hyperlogarithmic" because their actual calculation (in the convolutional plane) involves integrals of that type.

The monics admit numerous encodings, each one manifesting a specific symmetry. But in the end everything reduces to a fundamental arithmetical dimorphy

9 Canonical Object Synthesis. 9

"Analysis": Object \rightarrow Invariants: "Synthesis": Invariants \rightarrow Object.

Local Object: simply resonant vector field (for ex.)

Bridge equation: $\Delta_\omega y(z, u) = A_\omega y(z, u) \quad (*)$

Variant: $[\Delta_\omega, \mathbb{H}] = -\mathbb{H} \cdot A_\omega \quad (**)$

with \mathbb{H} denoting the normalizing substitution operator ($y_i \rightarrow y_i^{nr}$)

"Formal" Object Synthesis: For any system of Δ -friendly resonance monomials Ue^i , i.e. such that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\omega_0} Ue^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} (z) &= Ue^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} (z) \text{ if } \omega_0 = \omega_1 \text{ (resp. } 0 \text{ if } \omega_0 \neq \omega_1) \\ Ue^i(z) &\text{ symmetrical} \end{aligned} \right.$$

the expansion $\mathbb{H} = 1 + \sum_{1 \leq r} \sum_{\omega_i \in \mathcal{R}} (-1)^r Ue^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} (z) A_{\omega_r} \dots A_{\omega_1}$

defines a formal substitution operator (ie $\mathbb{H}(\varphi, \psi) \equiv \mathbb{H}\varphi \cdot \mathbb{H}\psi$) which formally verifies (**).

Effective, canonical ("spherical") Object Synthesis.

There is a canonical choice, corresponding to the "spherical monomials":

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} Ue_r^{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r} (z) &= \underline{\text{SPA}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy_1 \dots dy_r}{(y_n - y_{n-1}) \dots (y_2 - y_1)(y_1 - z)} \times \dots \\ &\times \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_i \cdot (y_i - z) - \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon^2 \bar{\omega}_i \cdot (y_i^{-1} - z^{-1}) \right\} \end{aligned} \right.$$

for a large enough value of the twist $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark 1: Antipodal involution. Explain "spherical".

Remark 2: limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

(10) Twistless Object Synthesis: The "Drawbridge Equation"

(10)

The twistless resurgence monomials ($c=0$) correspond to the classical, hyperlogarithmic, Δ -friendly resurgence monomials, but they fail to ensure convergence in Object Synthesis: there is generically divergence + resurgence in the u -parameters.

This specific resurgence ("synthesis resurgence") is of an unusually complex sort. It obeys the so-called Drawbridge Equation **BE**.

* **BE*** involves "invariants of invariants".

* **BE*** gives necessary + sufficient conditions for the success (i.e. convergence) of "twistless" synthesis (with $c=0$).

* with **BE*** (unlike with **BE**) the action of alien derivations on the test functions doesn't reduce in a straightforward manner to the action of ordinary differential operators. It involves an intricate mixture of integration/differentiation.

* yet the corresponding effective alien algebra (i.e. the quotient of **ALIEN** by the annihilator ideal) is isomorphic to an algebra of ordinary differential operators. (Hence the name of Drawbridge Equation).

NB. This tendency for effective alien algebras to behave like ordinary differential algebras is extremely robust: it survives even when the direct equivalence fails.

(II) Coequational resurgence. Heuristics I.

(II)

Example of singular ODE in t with singular parameter ε.

(*) $\varepsilon t^2 \partial_t y(t, \varepsilon) + y(t, \varepsilon) = \varphi(t, y, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}\{t, y, \varepsilon\}$

$\{t \sim 0; \varepsilon \sim 0\} \longrightarrow \{z = 1/t \sim \infty; x = 1/\varepsilon \sim \infty\}$
 critical variable z; critical covariable x.

(**) $(\partial_z + \omega x) y(z, x) = \theta(z) \in \mathbb{C}\{z^{-1}\}$

One should expect far-going affinities between eq. and coeq. divergence/resurgence, since in special cases y depends on the sole product εt or xz. But there are also bound to be differences. Indeed:

Eq.: Borel $\begin{cases} z\text{-plane} \longrightarrow \xi\text{-plane} \\ z^{-n} \longrightarrow \xi^{n-1}/(n-1)! \\ \partial_z \longrightarrow -\xi \end{cases}$

$y(z, x) \longrightarrow \hat{y}(\xi, x) \equiv (-\xi + x\omega)^{-1} \hat{\theta}(\xi)$

Coeq.: Borel $\begin{cases} x\text{-plane} \longrightarrow \xi\text{-plane} \\ x^{-n} \longrightarrow \xi^{n-1}/(n-1)! \\ x \longrightarrow \partial_\xi \end{cases}$

$y(z, x) \longrightarrow \hat{y}(z, \xi) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \theta(z - \frac{\xi}{\omega})$

Eq.: \hat{y} has "rigid" singular points: $\xi = \omega x$ ($\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ typically)
 \hat{y} has "flexible" residues: $-\hat{\theta}(\omega x)$

Coeq.: y^n has "flexible" singular points: $\xi = \omega(z - \sqrt{-1})$
 y^n has "rigid" residues: e.g. simple poles.

(12) Co-equational Resurgence. Heuristics II. (12)

Time-independent Schröd. Eq. in dim 1.

$$\hbar^2 \partial_q^2 \Psi \equiv W(q) \Psi \quad *$$

$$W(q) = q^{\nu} + \alpha_1 q^{\nu-1} + \dots + \alpha_{\nu}; \quad E = -\alpha_{\nu} = \text{energy}; \quad \hbar = \frac{2}{z} = \text{Planck's const.}$$

$$\Psi(z, x) = C_+(z) e^{\frac{xz}{2} \sqrt{q(z)}} \varphi_+(z, x) + C_-(z) e^{-\frac{xz}{2} \sqrt{q(z)}} \varphi_-(z, x)$$

with $z = z(q) = \int_0^q \sqrt{W(q')} dq'$; $H(z) = \frac{1}{2} q''(z)/q'(z)$

$$\partial_z^2 \varphi_{\pm} \pm x \partial_z \varphi_{\pm} = [H^2(z) - H'(z)] \varphi_{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{-2+\nu}]]$$

$$\in \mathbb{C}[[x^{-1}]]$$

z -resurgence:

BE_1 $\Delta_{\pm x; i}^{(z)} \varphi_{\pm} = S_i(x) \varphi_{\mp}$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = 1, \dots, \nu+2 \\ S_i(x) \text{ entire fct of } x \\ x_i \text{ over } x \text{ in } \mathbb{C}. \end{array} \right.$

x -resurgence

BE_2 $\Delta_{\pm x \pm \omega_j}^{(z)} \varphi_{\pm} = P_{j \neq \pm}(\omega) \varphi_{\mp}$ ($j = 1, \dots, \nu$)

with $P_{j \neq \pm}(\omega)$ rational functions of $V_1(z), \dots, V_{\nu}(z)$ with $\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} V_j(z) = 1$

BE_3 $\Delta_{n(\omega_i - \omega_j)}^{(z)} V_k = 0$ (if $k \neq i, j$)

$\Delta_{\pm n\omega_i \mp n\omega_j}^{(x)} V_i = \pm \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{V_{i+1} V_{i+2} \dots V_{j-1}}{V_{j+1} V_{j+2} \dots V_{i-1}} \right]^n$ ($i \neq j$)

and $\omega_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \sqrt{W(q')} dq'$

In BE_1 : rigid Ω (x_i over x) but flexible resurgence

In BE_2, BE_3 : flexible Ω ($\omega_i = \omega_i(\omega)$) but rigid resurgence.

(13) Co-equational resurgence - Heuristics III. (13)

(z = variable $\rightsquigarrow \infty$; x = covariable $\rightsquigarrow \infty$)

A singular ODE whose coefficients (for simplicity) are:

- (i) holomorphic at infinity
- (ii) meromorphic in the large with simple poles $\frac{\beta_i}{z - \alpha_i}$

leads to solutions $y(z, x)$ expandable in series of elementary monomials

$W(z)$ defined by the induction:

$$\left[\partial_z + x\omega_1 + \dots + x\omega_n \right] W^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}(z) \equiv -W^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})} \cdot \frac{1}{z - \alpha_i}$$

These expansions provide a full description of the resurgence and differential properties in the variable z .

To go over to the covariable x , the challenge is to rewrite the $W(z)$ in terms of the familiar $V(x)$ so as to make explicit the resurgence and differential properties in x :

$$W^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}(z) = \sum \gamma(i) V^{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)}(x)$$

This rewriting will involve two completely different sets of parameters:

- (*) the $\mu_i := \alpha_i$ and their sums $\mu_i + \mu_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_j$
- (*) the $\nu_i := z - \alpha_i$ and their differences $\nu_i - \nu_j$.

Both will constantly interact under a set of specific operations (the so-called "flexions") and under preservation of $\sum \mu_i \nu_i$ and $\sum d\mu_i \wedge d\nu_i$

$$M^\circ = \{ M^{w_1, \dots, w_r} = M^{\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_r \\ v_1 & \dots & v_r \end{pmatrix}} \}$$

For fixed length r : $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{"short" notation } (w_1, \dots, w_r) \\ \text{"long" notation } (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_r) \end{array} \right.$

with the condition $u_0 + \dots + u_r = 0$ and
the dual condition that all v_j be defined up to a common additive constant

Basic unary operations: swap // pus // push.

* swap: $(\text{swap } A)^{\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_r \\ v_1 & \dots & v_r \end{pmatrix}} = A^{\begin{pmatrix} v_r - v_{r-1} & \dots & v_2 - v_1, v_1 \\ u_{1,2, \dots, r} & \dots & u_{1,2}, u_1 \end{pmatrix}}$

* pus: circular permutation on the "short" notation

* push: circular permutation on the "long" notation

swap: involution ; pus: order r ; push: order $r+1$

Basic symmetries:

A° bialternat : A° and swap A° alternat

A° bisymmetr : A° and swap A° symmetr.

Prop: $\{ A^\circ \text{ bialternat} \} \iff$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A^\circ \text{ even (globally in } w) \\ A^\circ \text{ push-invariant} \\ A^\circ \text{ and swap } A^\circ \text{ pus-variant (i.e. with zero pus-average)} \end{array} \right\}$$

scramble: $A^\bullet \rightarrow \text{scram } A^\bullet$ (turns bivector/world into bivector)

with
$$(\text{scram } A)^\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r(\omega)!!} \epsilon_i A^{\omega^i}$$

$\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$; sequence ω^i permutation of sequence ω

$$(\text{scram } A)^{\binom{u_1}{v_1}} := A^{\binom{u_1}{v_1}}$$

$$(\text{scram } A)^{\binom{u_1, u_2}{v_1, v_2}} := A^{\binom{u_1, u_2}{v_1, v_2}} + A^{\binom{u_2, u_1}{v_2, v_1 - v_2}} - A^{\binom{u_2, u_2}{v_1, v_2 - v_1}}$$

$$(\text{scram } A)^{\binom{u_1, u_2, u_3}{v_1, v_2, v_3}} := A^{\binom{u_1, u_2, u_3}{v_1, v_2, v_3}} + A^{\binom{u_1, u_2, u_3}{v_2, v_2, v_3}} - A^{\binom{u_2, u_2, u_3}{v_3, v_3 - v_1, v_2 - v_3}} + \dots$$

(15 terms altogether)

Remark: when applied to a world A^\bullet , the scramble is defined as above, but with $u_i' v_i'$ in place of $\binom{u_i}{v_i}$ on the right hand side.

Prop 1: The scramble turns a symmetrial/alternial A^\bullet into a symmetrial/alternial $\text{scram } A^\bullet$

Prop 2: The scramble turns a symmetrial/alternial A^\bullet that is even in each ω_i into a bisymmetrial/bialternial $\text{scram } A^\bullet$

Remark: There exist scores of alternative definitions/characterizations for the scramble transform. But underlying all these, there is an important pre-Lie product: preari, leading to a Lie bracket ari and a group law gari. ari/gari preserves alternial/symmetrial and bialternial/bisymmetrial

Prop:

$W(z) \equiv \text{scram } V(z)$

(*)

More precisely: $W \begin{pmatrix} \dots & x & \omega_i & \dots \\ \dots & & \alpha_i & \dots \end{pmatrix} (z) = \text{scram } V \begin{pmatrix} \dots & u_i & \dots \\ \dots & v_i & \dots \end{pmatrix} (z)$ with $\begin{cases} u_i = \omega_i \\ v_i = z - \alpha_i \end{cases}$

The variable z moves up; the covariable x moves down.

Proof: Plug $\{ \sum_i v_i^{y_1, \dots, y_n} (x) = \dots \}$ into (*) to get $\{ \sum_i w_i(z) = \dots \}$

Complete system of coequational monomials // monics.

$\begin{cases} S(x) := \text{scram } V(x) \in \text{symmetrical} \\ T(x) := S(x) \times T \times \text{inv } S(x) \in \text{alternel} \end{cases} \begin{array}{l} \text{monomials} \\ \text{"flexible"} \end{array}$

$\begin{cases} \text{tes} := \text{scram } V \in \text{alternel} \\ \text{tez} := \text{similar} \in \text{alternel} \end{cases} \begin{array}{l} \text{monics} \\ \text{"rigid", in fact: locally const} \end{array}$

Overall closure under alien derivation.

** $\Delta_\omega S(x) = (\text{tes} \circ_\omega T(x)) \times S(x)$ // nonlinear

** $\Delta_\omega T(x) = \text{tez} \circ_\omega T(x)$ // in T

<u>equational</u>	<u>monomials // monics.</u>	<u>coequational</u>
$V(z) // V'$	flexible // rigid	$S(x), T(x) // \text{tes}, \text{tez}$
$V(z) // V'$	symmetrical // alternel	$S(x) // T(x), \text{tes}, \text{tez}$
nothing	regular // singular	$S, T, \text{tes}, \text{tez} // S', \text{tes}', \text{tez}'$

Definition 1: $tes^w := \text{scram } V^w$

with $V^{w_1, \dots, w_r} := \int_0^{w_1 + \dots + w_r} \frac{dt_{r-1}}{w_1 + \dots + w_r - t_{r-1}} \dots \int_0^{t_3} \frac{dt_2}{w_1 + w_2 - t_2} \int_0^{t_2} \frac{dt_1}{w_1 - t_1}$

Thus: $tes^{w_1} = 1$; $tes^{w_1, w_2} = V^{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2} + V^{u_{12}, v_2, u_1, (v_1 - v_2)} - V^{u_{12}, v_1, u_2, (v_2 - v_1)}$

⇒ local consistency; global non-consistency; jump rules.

Definition 2: $D_{ij} tes^w = tes^{w^*} tes^{w^{**}}$ $\begin{cases} i-j \neq 0, 1 \\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{r+1} \end{cases}$

crossing of the hypersurface $J(H_{ij}(w)) = 0$

with $H_{ij}(w) = \left(\sum_{\text{cyc}(j \leq q < i)} u_q (v_q - v_j) \right) / \left(\sum_{\text{cyc}(i \leq q < j)} u_q (v_q - v_j) \right)$

Definition 3: → "elementary" in the sense of involving only $\{\pm 1\}$

Elementary induction formula:

$$tes^w = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq r(w)} \text{push}^n \sum_{w'/w''=w} \text{sig}^{w',w''} tes^{w'} tes^{w''}$$

The notations are as follows. We fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and set $\mathcal{R}_\theta : z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathcal{R}(e^{i\theta} z) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} f_w^{w'} &:= \langle u', v' \rangle \langle u, v \rangle^{-1} & g_w^{w'} &:= \langle u', \mathcal{R}_\theta v' \rangle \langle u, \mathcal{R}_\theta v \rangle^{-1} \\ f_w^{w''} &:= \langle u'', v'' \rangle \langle u, v \rangle^{-1} & g_w^{w''} &:= \langle u'', \mathcal{R}_\theta v'' \rangle \langle u, \mathcal{R}_\theta v \rangle^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

From these scalars we construct the crucial sign factor sig which takes its values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Here, the abbreviation $si(\cdot)$ stands for $sign(\Im(\cdot))$.

$$\begin{aligned} sig^{w',w''} = sig_\theta^{w',w''} &:= \frac{1}{8} \left(si(f_w^{w'} - f_w^{w''}) - si(g_w^{w'} - g_w^{w''}) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(1 + si(f_w^{w'}/g_w^{w'}) si(f_w^{w'} - g_w^{w'}) \right) \times \\ &\quad \left(1 + si(f_w^{w''}/g_w^{w''}) si(f_w^{w''} - g_w^{w''}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, the pair (w^*, w^{**}) is constructed from the pair (w', w'') according to:

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &:= u' & v^* &:= v' \langle u, v \rangle^{-1} \Im g_w^{w'} - \mathcal{R}_\theta v' \langle u, \mathcal{R}_\theta v \rangle^{-1} \Im g_w^{w'} \\ u^{**} &:= u'' & v^{**} &:= v'' \langle u, v \rangle^{-1} \Im g_w^{w''} - \mathcal{R}_\theta v'' \langle u, \mathcal{R}_\theta v \rangle^{-1} \Im g_w^{w''} \end{aligned}$$

(18) The tessellation coefficients: 10 Key properties. (18)

P_1 $\text{tes} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_r \\ v_1 & \dots & v_r \end{pmatrix} \equiv \text{tes} \begin{pmatrix} au_1 & \dots & au_r \\ bv_1 & \dots & bv_r \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}^2 \quad \left\| \begin{array}{l} \text{tes}^* \text{ lives} \\ \text{on the li-} \\ \text{projective } \mathbb{C}^{2r} \end{array} \right.$

P_2 The bivector tes^* is bilateral (i.e. alt. and with alt. swappee)

P_3 in fact $\text{swap tes}^* = \text{tes}^*$

P_4 tes^* is push-invariant

P_5 tes^* is pus-variant (i.e. of zero pus-average)

P_6 tes^* is locally constant, with values in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$

P_7 for random sequences w of fixed length \underline{n} (large) the probability of getting nonzero values for $\text{tes}^* w$ is > 0 but exceedingly small.

P_8 for \underline{n} fixed, the three constancy domains S_-, S_0, S_+ are path-connected.

P_9 for \underline{n} fixed, the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{X}(H_{ij}(w)) \equiv 0$ limit but do not separate the sets S_-, S_0, S_+

P_{10} $\text{tes}^* w = 0$ whenever w is "semi-real", i.e. when one of its two component sequences u or v is real

Equation: $\partial_z y = x \cdot y + \sum a_n(z) y^{n+1}$

$\begin{cases} z = \text{variable} \\ x = \text{covariable} \end{cases}$
 $\begin{cases} n \in \mathbb{N}^* : \text{"unilateral case"} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{-1\} : \text{"bilateral case"} \end{cases}$

Formal integral: $y = y(z, x, u) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \otimes \mathbb{C}\{u e^{z^2}\} \otimes \text{Param}(x)$
 $\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x^{-1}]] \otimes \mathbb{C}\{u e^{z^2}\} \otimes \text{Param}(z)$

Equational resurgence:

BE₁ $\Delta_\omega y = A_\omega y$ $\begin{cases} \omega \in \Omega^1 = \{-x, x, 2x, 3x, 4x, \dots\} \\ A_\omega = A_\omega(x) u^{n+1} \partial_u \\ A_\omega(x) = \text{entire function of } x \end{cases}$

Co-equational resurgence:

BE₂ $\Delta_\omega y = P_\omega(x) y$ $\begin{cases} \omega \in \Omega^2 = \{n(z - \alpha_i), n = -1, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ P_\omega = P_\omega(x) u^{n+1} \partial_u \\ P_\omega(x) \text{ resurgent in } x \end{cases}$

BE₃ $[\Delta_\omega, P_{\omega_0}] = \begin{cases} \sum_{\omega + \omega_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_2} [P_{\omega_1}, P_{\omega_2}] & \text{in the unilateral case} \\ \sum_{\substack{\omega + \omega_0 = \\ \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_n}} \text{ter}(P_{\omega_1}, \dots, P_{\omega_n}) & \text{in the bilateral case} \end{cases}$

with $\omega \in \Omega^3 = \{n(\alpha_i - \alpha_j); n = -1, 1, 2, \dots\}$

\mathbb{Z} BE₃ is nonlinear (bi- or multi-linear) even for linear eq. // syst.
 \mathbb{Z} Link between $A_\omega(x)$ and $P_\omega(x)$. "Aurark" functions.

At the monomial level: Combining the definition:

(*) $W(z) \equiv \text{soram } V(x)$

with the rule:

(**) $(\partial_x + \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n) V^{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n}(x) = -V^{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}}(x) \frac{1}{x}$

we get:

(***) $(\partial_x + \langle w \rangle) \text{soram } V(x) = \text{preari}(\text{soram } V(x), I^w)$

with $\langle w \rangle := \sum u_i v_i$; $I^w \equiv 1$; $I^{u_1, \dots, u_n} = 0$ if $n \neq 1$.

At the global level: Let S be a singular differential system, with variable z and coverable x . Let y be its solution, but viewed as a function of the coverable x , with the corresponding "invariants" P_w . Plugging (***) into the explicit x -expansion of y and P_w , we get a differential "cosystem" $\text{cos } S$, which completely describes the differential properties (in x) of y and P_w . The "cosystem" $\text{cos } S$ crucially involves the tessellation coefficients.

Z This applies equally to linear or nonlinear systems S . However, even when the original system S is linear or affine, the co-system $\text{cos } S$ is usually (highly) nonlinear!

Equational resurgence (in the variable $z \sim \infty$)

The basic Bridge Equation $BE \sim BE_1$ solves most problems pertaining to Singular local Objects, such as:

- * Description * Iteration * Resummation * Conjugation
- * Transcendence (via the "display")
- * "Analysis" (explicitly, via the invariants and the expansion in terms of monomials)
- * "Synthesis" (explicitly and canonically, via the spherical monomials)

The closely related "Drawbridge Equation" BE^* yields all possible obstructions to "hurdless" synthesis ($c=0$)

Coequational resurgence (in the covariable $x \sim \infty$)

BE_2 and BE_3 provide an exhaustive description of the loosely "dual" coequational resurgence, with the locally constant tessellation coefficients accounting for the "rigidity" and nonlinearity of coeq. resurgence.

* On the arithmetical side, the eq. // coeq. duality finds its reflection in the phenomenon of arithmetical duality

* On the functional side, the eq. // coeq. duality explains the dual nature of the holomorphic invariants, which are simultaneously entire and resurgent functions of x , with "finiteness" or "closure" properties almost reminiscent of algebra

* "Natural" versus "random" or "generic".

⇒ divergent power series of natural origin tend to be resurgent

⇒ entire functions of natural origin tend to be entire.

* Inadequacy of differential Galois theory.