

The functional determinant

①

- It is constructed out of the spectrum of the Laplacian (or some more general operator)
- It has interesting relations with conformal geometry and sharp inequalities (M-T, Onofri, Beckner, etc...)
- It has interest in string theory (related to the weight of integration over all surface, and to the energy spectrum, which is measurable).

1. Eigenvalues of the Laplace operator Ref Chavel: eigenval. in Riem geom ①

We consider a compact closed manifold with metric g . In a local system of coordinates, the Laplace-Beltrami operator of (M, g) is defined as

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_i (g^{ij} \sqrt{\det g} \partial_j u).$$
 This is self adjoint and

$$-\int_M (\Delta_g u) v \, dV_g = \int_M g(\nabla u, \nabla v) \, dV_g = \int_M g^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v \sqrt{\det g} \, dx$$

It is well known that $L^2(M)$ admits an o.n. basis of eigenfunctions

(ϕ_i) ; which satisfy $-\Delta \phi_i = \lambda_i \phi_i$, $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Rayleigh and min-max methods

What is an efficient method to estimate the λ_i 's?

Thm Let (λ_i) be the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ counted with multiplicity.

$$\text{Thm } \forall k \quad \lambda_k = \inf \left\{ \frac{\sup_{u \in V_k} \int_M |\nabla u|^2}{\int_M u^2} \mid u \neq 0 \right\} \mid V_k \text{ } k \text{-dim subspace of } H^1(M)$$

$$\text{Moreover } \lambda_k = \sup_{\tilde{V}_{k-1}} \left\{ \inf_{u \perp \tilde{V}_{k-1}} \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2}{\int_M u^2} \mid u \neq 0 \right\} \mid \tilde{V}_{k-1} \text{ } (k-1)\text{-dim subspace of } L^2(M)$$

$$\text{Rem } ① \lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2}{\int_M u^2} \mid u \neq 0, u \in H^1(M) \right\}$$

② The same conclusion holds if Ω is a domain in M or in \mathbb{R}^n ,

with Dirichlet boundary conditions ($H_0^1(\Omega)$), or Neumann

Cor 1 (Domain monotonicity of eigenvalues) Suppose $\Omega \subset M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a

smooth domain and $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_m$ be pairwise disjoint piecewise

smooth domains. Given an eigenvalue problem on Ω (Dirichlet or Neumann) with eigenvalue λ_k , for all $r=1, \dots, m$ consider the

eigenvalue problem on Ω_r obtained imposing Dirichlet data in $\partial\Omega_r \cap \Omega$

and the same data on $\partial\Omega_r \cap \bar{\Omega}$. Arrange all the eigenvalues ν_i in

(with mult.) increasing order. Then $\forall k, \lambda_k \leq \nu_k$.

PP Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}$ be eigenf. corresp. to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$. For $j=1, \dots, k$, let ψ_j be an eigenf. corresp. to ν_j and extended to 0 in Ω .

Then $\psi_j \in H^1(\Omega)$, and ψ_1, \dots, ψ_k can be chosen to be o.n. in $L^2(\Omega)$.

We can find $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ not all zero s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j (\psi_j, \phi_\ell) = 0 \quad \ell=1, \dots, k-1$.

Hence $\lambda_k \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \nu_j \alpha_j^2 \leq \nu_k \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \square$

Particular case Let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ and let $\lambda_k^D(\tilde{\Omega})$ be the Dirichlet eigenvalue in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then, if $\lambda_k(\Omega)$ is only eigenvalue (D. or N.) then $\lambda_k^D(\tilde{\Omega}) \geq \lambda_k(\Omega)$.

Cor 2 Suppose we are as in Cor 1, and assume $M = \bar{\Omega}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{\Omega}_m$. Assume

Neumann on $M \cap \partial\Omega_r$ and original data on $\partial\Omega_r \cap \partial M$. Then $\nu_k \leq \lambda_k$.

PP Let ψ_ℓ be as before. If $f \in H^1(\Omega)$, $f|_{\Omega_r} \in H^1(\Omega_r) \forall r$. If $f \perp_{L^2} \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}$, then

$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega_i} |\nabla f|^2 \geq \sum_{r=1}^m \nu_k \int_{\Omega_r} |f|^2 = \nu_k \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$. But \exists a non zero

$f = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \psi_j \perp_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1} \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 \leq \lambda_k \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \square$

Weyl's asymptotic formula

(3)

Consider a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^m $\Omega = (0, L_1) \times \dots \times (0, L_m) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. Then the Dirichlet

eigenfunctions are of the form $\sin \frac{k_1 \pi}{L_1} x_1 \dots \sin \frac{k_m \pi}{L_m} x_m$, with eigenvalue

$$\pi^2 \left(\frac{k_1^2}{L_1^2} + \dots + \frac{k_m^2}{L_m^2} \right).$$

Let $N(\lambda)$ be the number of eigenvalues $\leq \lambda$ counted with multiplicity. Then $N(\lambda) \sim \omega_m \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \text{Vol}(\Omega) (2\pi)^{-m}$ Ex 1

The same holds for Neumann b.c.

Prop. For a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^m one has $N_{\Omega}^D(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \omega_m \text{Vol}(\Omega) (2\pi)^{-m}$

PF Lower Bound Let G_1, \dots, G_e be disjoint open rectangles and let

$$N_j(\lambda) = N_{G_j}^D(\lambda). \text{ Then by Cor 1 we have } N_{\Omega}^D(\lambda) \geq \sum_{j=1}^e N_j(\lambda).$$

$$\text{So we have } \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} N(\lambda) / \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} \geq \sum_{j=1}^e \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} N_j(\lambda) / \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}} = \frac{\omega_m}{(2\pi)^m} \sum_{j=1}^e \text{Vol}(G_j).$$

Upper Bound Let G_1, \dots, G_e be disjoint open rect. with $\Omega \subset \text{int}(\bar{G}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{G}_e)$.

Let $M(\lambda)$ be the sumatory function for the Neuman eigenvalues of

$$\text{int}(\bar{G}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{G}_e), \text{ and } M_j(\lambda) = M_{G_j}^N(\lambda). \text{ Then } N_{\Omega}^D(\lambda) \leq M(\lambda) \leq \sum_{j=1}^e M_j(\lambda)$$

$$\text{which implies } \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{\frac{m}{2}}} \leq \frac{\omega_m}{(2\pi)^m} \sum_{j=1}^e \text{Vol}(G_j). \quad \square$$

Rem. The same can be proved for Neumann boundary conditions

Proof for a manifold ^(sketch) (with a triangulation), Let $\{R_i\}$ be a triangulation ^(decomposition) (4)

of M , s.t. all triangles are contained in some geodesic ball T_{ϵ} in each

R_i $g = dx^2 + \alpha(x) dx^2$, which implies $\lambda_K^{D(M)}(R_i) = \lambda_K^{D(\tilde{R}_i)}(1 + \alpha(x)) \forall K$,

where \tilde{R}_i is the pre image of R_i through an exponential map.

Then, as for the above arguments we have that $\lambda_K(M) \leq \lambda_K^D(\bigcup_i R_i)$,

and that $\lambda_K(M) \geq \lambda_K^N(\bigcup_i R_i)$. So we get the asymptotics. \square

2. Heat Kernel for compact manifolds

We consider the heat operator $Hu := \Delta u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ on $M \times (0, \infty)$, M qpl. man.

Def A fundamental solution of the heat equation (or heat kernel) is a

continuous function $p = p(x, y, t)$ def. on $M \times M \times (0, \infty)$ which is C^2 w.r.t. x ,

C^1 w.r.t. t and which satisfies $H_x p = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p(\cdot, y, t) = \delta_y$

(or $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_M p(x, y, t) f(x) dV(x) = f(y) \forall f$ continuous on M)

Sturm-Liouville decomposition Consider a complete o.m. basis in $L^2(M)$

consisting of eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$, ϕ_0, ϕ_1, \dots . Then one has

$$p(x, y, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) \quad (\text{the } \boxed{\text{Ex}} \text{ convergence is uniform in every } \text{norm})$$

From the properties of p we have that if $Hu = 0$, $u|_{t=0} = u_0$, then

$u(x,t) = \int_M p(x,y,t) u_0(y) dy$. Let us verify it for the above function. (5)

If $u_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x)$, then $u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e^{-\lambda_k t} \phi_k(x)$.

Also $\int_M p(x,y,t) u_0(y) dy = \sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x) \int \phi_j(y) c_k \phi_k(y) dy = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} c_j \phi_j(x) \Delta$

• In particular we get $\int_M p(x,x,t) dV(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t}$

• As $t \rightarrow \infty$ $u(x,t) \rightarrow \int_M u_0$ unif in every norm.

• $\forall x,y,t$ we have $p(x,y,t) = p(y,x,t)$

The Minakshisundaram-Pleijel expansions

In \mathbb{R}^n the heat kernel is given by $e(x,y,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/4t}$

The idea is that for t small the heat kernel on a cpt. manifold has the same asymptotics, but with ^(main) corrections which depend on the local geometry.

Let us set $E(x,y,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}$. Fixing x We look for approx sol. like

$E_x(x,y,t) = E(x,y,t) (U_0 + U_1 t + \dots + U_k t^k)$.

The Laplacian in a coordinate system is given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \partial_j u)$,

and in geodesic coordinates by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_e (\sqrt{\det g} \partial_e u) + \Delta_{S(x,e)}(u|_{S(x,e)})$.

• In Euclidean space $\sqrt{\det g} = r^{n-1}$.

Set $U_0(x,x) = 1$, and define recursively U_j by

$$2 \frac{dU_j}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d \log \sqrt{g}}{dr} U_j + j U_j = \Delta U_{j-1} \quad (U_{-1} \equiv 0)$$

Claim $M_\gamma E_\kappa(x, y, t) = \Delta U_\kappa E^{-1} E(x, y, t) \quad \left| \frac{t}{2^{m-1}} \right. \quad (6)$

if $\Phi = \Phi(r)$

PP ($\kappa=1$). We have $\Delta(\phi U) = U(\phi_{rr} + \frac{m-1}{r} \phi_r + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \phi_r) + 2U_z \phi_r + \phi \Delta U$

Moreover E satisfies $E_r = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{t} E$; $E_{rr} + \frac{m-1}{r} E_r - E_t = 0$

$\Rightarrow M_\gamma E_1(x, y, t) = \frac{E}{t} \left(-2U_{0r} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{t} \frac{\partial \log \sqrt{\det g}}{\partial r} U_0 \right)$

$U_0 = \varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}$		$+ E \left(-2U_{1r} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{t} \frac{\partial \log \sqrt{\det g}}{\partial r} \varphi - U_1 \right) + E \Delta U_0 + Et \Delta U_1$
$\varphi_r \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{2^{m-1}}$		

Fact For $\kappa > \frac{m}{2}$ E_κ is a parametrix for the Heat operator

($\forall P \in C^\infty(M \times M \times (0, \infty)) \cap C(M \times M \times [0, \infty))$, $\tilde{P}(\cdot, y, t) \sim \delta_y$, $P(x, \cdot, t) \sim \delta_x$ as $t \rightarrow 0$)

\bullet $M_\gamma E_\kappa = O\left(e^{-\frac{\kappa}{4t}}\right) t^{\kappa - \frac{m}{2}}$ \Rightarrow apply Schauder theory

to find a rigorous estimate for the heat kernel

Ex Prove that $U_1(x, x) = \frac{1}{6} R_g(x)$ (scalar curvature)

Hint: use $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} R_{kijl} x^k x^l + o(|x|^2)$ in good coord.

($R_g = 2K_g$ for $n=2$)

The determinant of the Laplacian RGP OPS, JFA '88

(7)

Formally, this is defined as $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ (λ_j eigen of $-\Delta$)

We need a regularization. Consider $Z(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-s}$, so $\det' \Delta = e^{-Z'(0)}$

In fact $Z'(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-s \log \lambda_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-s) \log \lambda_j e^{-s \log \lambda_j}$

By the Weyl's asymptotic formula the series converges absolutely for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$.

We can write that $Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} t^s dt$ ($\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$)

This can be also written as $Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} (\text{Tr}(e^{\Delta t}) - 1) \frac{t^s}{t} dt$, so

$$Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}(e^{\Delta t} - 1)}{A(\Sigma)} \frac{t^s}{t} dt$$

Recall that we have the expansion $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \varphi_j^2(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} + \frac{K(x)}{12\pi} + O(t)$,

which implies $\text{Tr}(e^{\Delta t}) = \frac{A}{4\pi t} + \frac{\chi(\Sigma)}{6} + O(t)$.

It follows that $Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left\{ \frac{A}{4\pi(s-1)} + \left(\frac{\chi(\Sigma)}{6} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{s} + \text{holom. in } s \right\}$ near zero.

Therefore Z is regular at $s=0$, and $e^{-Z'(0)}$ is well defined. ($Z(0) = \frac{\chi(\Sigma) - 1}{6}$)

Conformal changes of metrics

Let g be a fixed metric on Σ , and consider the conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2w} g$

Then one has $dK_{\tilde{g}} = e^{2w} dK_g$, $\Delta_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2w} \Delta_g$, $K_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2w} (-\Delta_g w + K_g)$

If we differentiate $Z(s)$ with respect to w we find

$$\delta Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \delta \overline{\text{Tr}} \left(\frac{e^{st} - 1}{A} \right) t^s dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \overline{\text{Tr}} (\delta \Delta e^{st}) t^s dt \quad (2)$$

But we have $\delta \Delta = -2e^{-2w} \delta w \Delta_g = -2\delta w \Delta$, so we get

$$\delta Z(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \overline{\text{Tr}} (-2\delta w \Delta e^{st}) t^s dt = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \overline{\text{Tr}} (2\delta w (e^{st} - 1)) t^s dt = \frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \overline{\text{Tr}} (\delta w (e^{st} - 1)) t^s dt$$

int. by parts as

• The function $s/\Gamma(s)$ has a second order zero at $s=0$, so we can diff. w.r.t. s

and calculate at $s=0$. In this way we get

$$\delta Z'_{s=0} = 2 \int_\Sigma (\delta w) \left(\frac{K_g(x)}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{A} \right) e^{2w} dV_g = \frac{1}{6\pi} \int_\Sigma \delta w (-\Delta_g w + K_g) dV_g - \delta \log A, \quad \text{so}$$

$$\delta (-\log \det' \Delta_g) = \frac{1}{6\pi} \left\{ \int_\Sigma \delta w (-\Delta_g w) dV_g + \int_\Sigma K_g \delta w dV_g \right\} - \delta \log A$$

Integrating we find that (Polyakov, Ray-Singer formula)

$$\log \left(\frac{\det' \Delta_g}{\det' \Delta_{\tilde{g}}} \right) = \det' \Delta_{\tilde{g}} - \det' \Delta_g = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |12g|^{-2} dV_g + \int_\Sigma K_g \phi dV_g \right\} + \log \frac{A_{\tilde{g}}}{A_g}$$

Extremal metrics (Osgood-Phillips-Sarnak)

Let us introduce the Functional $F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |12g|^{-2} dV_g + \int_\Sigma K_g u dV_g - \pi \chi(\Sigma) \log \int_\Sigma e^{2u} dV_g$

- This functional is invariant by translation, and also $\min F \Leftrightarrow \max \det' \Delta$ (linear const.)
- We can normalize conveniently by $\int_\Sigma e^{2u} = \text{const}$ (Vol. const.) or $\int_\Sigma u dV_g = 0$

Theorem ([OPS]) Within all metrics in a given conformal class and

with the same volume, the constant curvature one has maximum determinant.

Easy case: $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ In this case the log term has the good sign

In this case it is convenient to normalize g so that the int value is 1. (9)
and $\int_{\Sigma} u dV_g = 0$

Then we can use Jensen's inequality $\int_{\Sigma} e^{2u} dV_g \geq \exp(2 \int_{\Sigma} u dV_g) = 0$

We also have, by Holder's ineq. $\int_{\Sigma} e^{2\alpha u + (1-\alpha)2v} \leq \left(\int_{\Sigma} e^{2u}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\int_{\Sigma} e^{2v}\right)^{1-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$

\Rightarrow For $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ $F(\cdot)$ is strictly convex. \Rightarrow minimum is unique

Existence of a minimizer Let u_n be s.t. $F(u_n) \rightarrow \inf F$

By the Poincaré inequality we have that $(u_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1(\Sigma)$

Hence $\exists u_0 \in H^1(\Sigma)$ s.t. $u_n \rightarrow u_0$, $\int_{\Sigma} |Du_n|^2 dV_g \leq \liminf \int_{\Sigma} |Du_n|^2 dV_g$

$u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in L^2 and pointwise a.e., plus $\int_{\Sigma} e^{2u_0} \leq \liminf \int_{\Sigma} e^{2u_n}$

by Fatou's lemma. Hence $F(u_0) = \inf F$, so u_0 is a minimizer.

Looking at the Euler equation at u_0 we find that u_0 solves

$-\Delta_g u_0 + K_g = \frac{2\pi \chi(M)}{\int_{\Sigma} e^{2u_0} dV_g} e^{2u_0}$. By elliptic reg. the solution

is also classical. By the transf. law of Gauss curvature

we get that the extremal metric has constant curvature.

Difficult case: Σ simply connected

• We assume here the uniformization theorem, namely that every metric

on S^2 is conformal to the standard one g_0 . We need to show that

if the determinant is maximal then the metric is isometric to g_0 .

Remark The functional is invariant under the action of the Möbius group (10)

$z: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is defined via composition of the stereographic projection and a dilation in \mathbb{R}^2 . $u(x) \rightarrow u_\sigma(x) = u(\sigma(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \log(|d\sigma(x)|)$.

Then $F(u_\sigma) = F(u) \quad \forall u, \sigma \Rightarrow F$ is no more convex. \square

• Since $F(0) = 0$, the inequality is equivalent to

$$\int_{S^2} |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 dV_{g_0} + 2 \int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0} - 4\pi \log \int_{S^2} e^{2u} dV_{g_0} \geq 0 \quad \text{Moser's inequality,}$$

with equality iff u is of the type 0_σ .

PP (TOPS). It is sufficient to assume that $\int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0} = 0$, and to prove that, for all such u and $\forall \epsilon > 0$ one has $G_\epsilon(u) \geq 0$, where

$$G_\epsilon(u) = (1+\epsilon) \int_{S^2} |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 dV_{g_0} - 4\pi \log \int_{S^2} e^{2u} dV_{g_0}.$$

One has indeed the weaker Moser's inequality $G(u) := G_0(u) \geq -C$ for some $C > 0$.

• From Moser's inequality it follows that $G_\epsilon(u)$ attains a minimum μ_ϵ .

By symmetrization we can assume that u_ϵ is axially symmetric in the N-S direction and angular decreasing. Moreover it satisfies

(E ϵ) $-(1+\epsilon) \Delta_{g_0} u_\epsilon + 1 = \frac{4\pi e^{2u_\epsilon}}{\int_{S^2} e^{2u_\epsilon} dV_{g_0}}$. We will show that $u_\epsilon = 0$.

• We can set $v_\epsilon = a + u_\epsilon$ so that $(a \in \mathbb{R})$

v_ϵ satisfies the ODE

$$(ODE_{\epsilon}) \quad - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{dv}{d\theta} \right) = e^{2v} - 1, \quad 0 < \theta \leq \pi. \quad (11)$$

Lemma Suppose v is a solution of $-\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{dv}{d\theta} \right) = C(e^{2v} - 1)$

IF $C \neq 1$, then $v = \text{const.}$

Cor IF u_{ϵ} is a solution of (11) with zero mean value, then $u_{\epsilon} = 0$.

Pf of Lemma We have $-\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\sin \theta v' \right)' = C(e^{2v} - 1)$.

Differentiating we obtain $-\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\sin \theta v' \right)' \right]' = 2C e^{2v} v'$, so using

the equation $-\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\sin \theta v' \right)' \right]' = 2v' \left(-\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \left(\sin \theta v' \right)' + C \right)$

Set $\tilde{v} = \sin \theta v'$, so $-\left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \tilde{v}' \right]' = \frac{2\tilde{v}}{\sin \theta} \left(-\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \tilde{v}' + C \right)$, which implies

$\sin^2(\theta) \left(\frac{\tilde{v}'}{\sin \theta} \right)' = 2\tilde{v}\tilde{v}' - 2C\tilde{v}\sin \theta$. We have that $v(0) = v(\pi) = 0$,

so integr. by parts we get $-2 \int_0^{\pi} \tilde{v}' \cos \theta = -2C \int_0^{\pi} \tilde{v} \sin \theta$

Since \tilde{v} has a fixed sign, the integral is non zero $\Rightarrow C = 1$. \square

• We only need to show when equality holds. Clearly, $u = 0$ and its

Mobius equivalents realize equality. Let us show there are no others.

A minimizer u satisfies $-\Delta_g u + 1 = \frac{2u}{\int_{\Sigma} e^{2u} dV_g}$. The Riemannian metric

$\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g_0$ has constant Gauss curvature, so it must arise from a Mobius map. \square
(Obata)

Some remarks about manifolds with boundary

(12)

When $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ one can consider the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions. In this case the heat kernel satisfies

$$\overline{K}(e^{At}) = \frac{|\Sigma|}{8\pi t} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{(\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |\partial\Sigma| + \frac{1}{6} \chi(\Sigma) + O(\sqrt{t})$$

In this case, if $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g$ one has that the determinant becomes

$$\log \frac{\det A_{\tilde{g}}}{\det A_g} = -\frac{1}{6\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g w|^2 dV_g + \int_{\Sigma} K_g w dV_g + \int_{\partial\Sigma} h_g w dL_g \right\} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} w dL_g,$$

where h_g is the geodesic curvature of $\partial\Sigma$.

Thm ([OPS]) Suppose $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Then, in a given conformal class of metrics with given area the determinant is maximized by constant curvature metrics such that $\partial\Sigma$ has zero geodesic curvature. If we instead consider conformal metrics with given boundary length, the determinant is maximal when Σ is flat and $\partial\Sigma$ has constant geodesic curvature.

The "difficult" case is when Σ has the topology of the unit disk.

If D is the unit disk, one has to use the Lebedev-Milin inequality

$$\log \int_{\partial D} e^u d\theta \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_D |u|^2 + \int_{\partial D} u d\theta \quad \forall u \in H^1(D), \text{ with "=" iff } \text{Molins}$$

Isospectral surfaces

(13)

We consider a family of Riemannian metrics on a given surface Σ with the property that they have the same spectrum. The question is related to a classical problem raised by M. Kac in 1966 asking whether it is possible to hear the shape of a drum. About plane domains there are

counterexamples by Gordon-Wilson-Wolpert, and for compact surfaces examples by Vigneras, Sunada and Gordon-Wilson: in the latter case there exist even continuous families of metrics.

We fix Σ compact closed surface and a background metric g . We use this fixed metric to express the convergence in C^k norm of metric tensors. ^(smooth)

Def We say that two metrics are isometric if they are equivalent by

pull back with a C^∞ diffeomorphism. Dealing with cpt, we look at suit. representatives.

- The situation is also different in the case of the sphere or for other surfaces, since for the sphere all metrics are conformally equivalent.

Thm ([OPS]) On a given surface Σ isospectral metrics are compact in every C^k topology (choosing suitable representatives)

- The proof uses the determinant to prove H^1 bounds and heat invariants to prove H^k estimates.

Case of the sphere: all metrics are conformally equivalent, and we have (14)

invariance under the action of the Möbius group.

Def A metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g_0$ on S^2 is said to be balanced if $\int_{S^2} e^{2u} x_j dV_{g_0} = 0 \quad \forall j=1,2,3$.

It is possible to prove using degree theory that every metric has a balanced representative, choosing suitably the Möbius action. Moreover one has

Prop (Aubin) If $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g_0$ is a balanced metric, then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists C_\epsilon > 0 s.t.$

$$\log \int_{S^2} e^{2u} dV_{g_0} \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} |u|^2 dV_{g_0} + 2 \int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0}.$$

The 0-th order heat invariant determines the area of the surface, so we can assume that all the areas are equal to 4π .

Also, $\det' \Delta_g$ is a spectral invariant, and by Polyakov's formula

$$\log \det' \Delta_g = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \left(\int_{S^2} |u|^2 dV_{g_0} + 2 \int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0} \right) + \log A_g(\Sigma) + C$$

Using this and the area bound we deduce that

$\int_{S^2} |u|^2 dV_{g_0} + 2 \int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0}$ is uniformly bounded, and moreover from

Aubin's inequality we find that $\int_{S^2} |u|^2 dV_{g_0}$ is unif. bounded.

This also implies a uniform bound for $|\int_{S^2} u dV_{g_0}|$, so

we get uniform bounds on $\|u\|_{H^1(S^2)}$

Higher Heat invariants

(15)

Using the H-P expansion it is possible to prove that $\text{Tr}(e^{At}) = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j + O(t^e)$,

where $a_j = \int_{\Sigma} U_j dV_g$, where the U_j 's are universal polynomials (indep of Σ)

of degree $2j$ in K_g and Δ_g . We saw $a_0 = \frac{|\Sigma|}{4\pi}$, $a_1 = \frac{\chi(\Sigma)}{6}$.

McKean and Singer proved that $a_2 = \frac{11}{60} \int_{\Sigma} K_g^2 dV_g$, while Gilkey proved

the formula in the general case.

• Using integration by parts one finds that the highest order term in the

metric is given by $c_j \int_{\Sigma} K_g \Delta^{j-2} K_g dV_g = \pm c_j \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta^{\frac{j-2}{2}} K_g)^2 dV_g$

In [OPS] it was shown that $c_j \neq 0 \forall j$, using special metrics on the torus.

Estimates in higher norms

$$\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g_0$$

Recall that the Gauss curvature of a conformal metric is given by

$$K_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2u} (K_{g_0} - \Delta_{g_0} u) = e^{-2u} (1 - \Delta_{g_0} u).$$

From the control on the second heat invariant we get that $\int_{S^2} e^{-2u} (1 - \Delta_{g_0} u)^2 dV_{g_0} \leq C$

From the H-T inequality we have that $\int_{S^2} e^{-2u} (\Delta_{g_0} u)^2 dV_{g_0} \leq C$.

We then write $u(x) = f u = \int_{S^2} G(x,y) \Delta_{g_0} u(y) dV_{g_0}(y)$, where G is the Green's

function of the Laplacian. $G(x,y) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \text{dist}(x,y)$ as $\text{dist}(x,y) \rightarrow 0$.

We can write $\int G(x,y) \Delta_y u(y) dV(y) = \int G(x,y) \Delta_y u(y) e^{-u(y)} e^{u(y)} dV(y)$ (16)

and use Holder's inequality to get $|\bar{u}(x) - \bar{u}|^2 \leq \left(\int_{S^2} G^4(x,y) dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{S^2} e^{4u(y)} dy \right)^{1/2} \int_{S^2} e^{-2u(y)} (\Delta_y u)^2 dy$

By the control on the average we get uniform C^0 bounds. Half integer means gradient $n \geq 2$

• We next prove by induction that $\int_{S^2} (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g)^2 dV_g \leq C_n$, $\int_{S^2} (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g) dV_g \leq C_n$ $e \leq n$, $2 \leq n \leq m$.

We prove it for $n=2$ from the second heat invariant: assume it true for $n \geq 2$ and let

us prove that $\int_{S^2} (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} K_g)^2 dV_g$ is odd.

From the $(n+1)$ -th heat invariant we get that $R_{n+1} = C_{n+1} \int_{S^2} (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} K_g)^2 + \text{p.o.t.}$
(Expansions)

The p.o.t. contain either derivatives of order less than $\frac{n-2}{2}$, or there is a

term like $T_{n+1} := \int_{S^2} K_g (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g)^2 dV_g$. Derivatives of order less than (at most n terms, or $\int K_g^{n+1}$ (Sol.))

$\frac{n-2}{2}$ can be treated using the induction hypothesis. For T_{n+1} instead we can use

$|T_{n+1}| \leq \|K_g\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g\|_{L^2}^2 \stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} C \|\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g\|_{H^1}^{3/2} \|\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$

$\leq C \|\Delta_y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} K_g\|_{H^1}^{3/2} \leq C.$

, thus $\int_{S^2} (\Delta_y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} K_g)^2 dV_g \leq C.$

The other estimate follows from the Sobolev ineq. $\|u\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|u\|_{L^2} + \left| \int_{S^2} u dV_g \right|.$

Since $\Delta_y = e^{-2u} \Delta_{g_0}$, from the C^0 bound on u we get $\|\Delta_{g_0} K_g\|_{L^2} \leq C,$

which implies $\|\Delta_{g_0}^2 u\|_{L^2} \leq C \Rightarrow$ (by induction) $\|u\|_{H^k} \leq C_k$

Suppose now Σ is a closed surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let \hat{g}_n be a sequence of isometry classes of isoppectral metrics. We can assume that the area is fixed = 1.

In each conformal class \exists a hyperbolic metric \hat{z}_n . By the extremality of the determinant we have $\det(\hat{z}_n) \geq \det(\hat{g}_n)$.

Let M_G be the moduli space of hyperbolic metrics with area 1. Wolpert showed that \det satisfies $\det(\hat{z}) \leq \frac{1}{e} \exp(-\frac{c_1}{e})$, where l is the length of the shortest geodesic and c_1 is a constant dep. only on the genus. Then we have $l_n \geq \frac{1}{e} \geq c_0$.

A theorem of Mumford implies that a subsequence of \hat{z}_n converges to a \hat{z} in M_G .

It is possible then to prove (AR(Pois)) that the convergence is in C^∞ .

Since $g_n = e^{2u_n} \hat{z}_n$ for some u_n , it is sufficient to show that the u_n 's

converge in C^∞ . Using Polyakov's formula we have that

$$-6\pi \log \det g_n = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{z_n} u_n|^2 dV_{z_n} + 2\pi(2-2G) \int_{\Sigma} u_n dV_{z_n} - 6\pi \log \det(\hat{z}_n)$$

$$(K_{z_n} = 2-2G). \text{ Moreover } \int_{\Sigma} dV_{z_n} = 1 = \int_{\Sigma} e^{2u_n} dV_{z_n}$$

Jensen's inequality implies $\int_{\Sigma} u_n dV_{z_n} \leq 0$. Thus from the latter

equations we get $\int_{\Sigma} u_n dV_{z_n} \leq 0$, and hence $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{z_n} u_n|^2 dV_{z_n} \leq C$.

The H-T ineq. implies $1 = \int_{\Sigma} e^{2u_n} dV_{z_n} \leq C \exp\left(c_1 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_{z_n} u_n|^2 dV_{z_n} + c_2 \int_{\Sigma} u_n dV_{z_n}\right)$

This implies $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_M u_n dV_{g_n} \leq C$ and here $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_M u_n dV_{g_0} \leq C$ (18)

The latter and the Dirichlet bound implies $\|u_n\|_{H^1} \leq C$.

We have reached the same initial step as before: now we conclude using the higher heat invariants. \square

Rem 1 A similar result holds for planar simply connected domains.

Using the Riemann mapping, one can consider flat metrics on the unit disk D of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then, if the metrics are isospectral, one gets convergence of the Riemann mappings in $C^\infty(\bar{D})$.

Rem 2 There is an extension by Peng-Yang to 3D manifolds. Here one considers the conformal Laplacian $-8A_g + R_g$ (with corresp. spectrum), and restricts to conformal metrics.

$$\text{In this case } a_0 = \text{Vol}_g(M), \quad a_1 = \int R_g dV_g, \quad a_2 = A_1 \int R_g^2 dV_g + A_2 \int |\text{Ric}|^2 dV_g$$
$$a_k = A_{1,k} \int |D^{k-2} R_g|^2 dV_g + A_{2,k} \int |D^{k-2} \text{Ric}|^2 dV_g$$

• One key step in the proof is a uniform lower bound on the first

eigenvalue: this argument extends to surfaces in a given conformal class.
optimal Sobolev ineq. Moser iteration

Conformally covariant operators (M, g)

(19)

• It is a class of operators which transform nicely under a conformal change of metric. It is a sufficient necessary condition if one wants to get Polyakov formulas.

Def An operator is called conformally covariant if $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. if

$$\tilde{g} = e^{2w} g, \text{ then } \Delta_{\tilde{g}} \varphi = e^{-bw} \Delta_g (e^{aw} \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty(M)$$

Examples

1. $n=2$ Δ satisfies the above with $(a, b) = (0, 2)$

2. $n \geq 3$ $L_g = -\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)} \Delta_g + R_g$ conformal laplacian : $(a, b) = (\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2})$

3. $n=4$ Pancate operator $P_g \varphi = \Delta_g^2 \varphi + \text{div} \left[\left(\frac{2}{3} R_g - 2 \text{Ric} \right) \nabla \varphi \right]$ $(a, b) = (0, 4)$

4. $n \geq 2$ Dirac operator (on spinors) \not{D} $(a, b) = (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2})$

Heat kernel and determinant of L_g ([Parker-Rosenberg])

Let $H_2(x, y, t)$ be the heat kernel of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_g$. Then, again, $H_2(x, y, t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum a_k(x) t^k$

Thm ([P-R]) Suppose n is even. Then $a_{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ is a pointwise conformal invariant.

Still for n even, $\int_M a_{\frac{n-2}{2}} dV_g$ is a global conformal invariant.

For n odd, the determinant is a global conformal invariant.

$$\left(n=4, a_0(x) = 1, a_2(x) = \frac{1}{180} \left[11V_g^2 - \Delta R_g^2 - \frac{1}{12} R_g^2 \right] \right) \rightsquigarrow \text{Chern-Gauss-Bonnet}$$

Log determinants in 4D

$$\log \det A_g = -Z_A'(0) \quad Z_A(s) = \sum_{j \neq 0} |L_j|^{-s} \quad A_\varepsilon = A_{e^{2\varepsilon w} g}$$

Branson and Oersted proved that $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} Z_A'(0) = 2 \int_M w (a_2[A] - \sum_{j \neq 0} |L_j|^2)$

a_2 conf inv.

($b = a + 2\varepsilon$, φ_j : kernel)

Using Weyl's invariant theory one can show that a_2 is a linear combination

of $|W_g|^2$, $|\text{Ric}|^2$, R_g^2 and ΔR_g . More precisely, it can be shown that

$$a_2 = \beta_1 |W_g|^2 + \beta_2 Q_g + \beta_3 \Delta R_g, \text{ where } Q_g = \frac{1}{12} (R_g^2 - 3|\text{Ric}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} R_g)$$
 & curvature

Using the transformation laws for R_g , $|W_g|^2$ and Q_g under conformal changes

$$\tilde{g} = e^{2w} g \Rightarrow -6(\Delta w + 10w^2) + R_g = R_{\tilde{g}} e^{2w} \quad |W_{\tilde{g}}|^2 = e^{-4w} |W_g|^2$$

one then finds, by integration

$$\beta_1 w + 2\beta_2 = 2\beta_3 e^{4w}$$

$$\log \frac{\det A_{\tilde{g}}}{\det A_g} = \gamma_1 \text{I}[w] + \gamma_2 \text{II}[w] + \gamma_3 \text{III}[w], \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ with}$$

$$\text{I}[w] = 4 \int_M |W_g|^2 w \, dV_g - \left(\int_M |W_g|^2 \, dV_g \right) \log \int_M e^{4w} \, dV_g$$

$$\text{II}[w] = \int_M w R_g \, dV_g + 4 \int_M Q_g w \, dV_g - \left(\int_M Q_g \, dV_g \right) \log \int_M e^{2w} \, dV_g$$

$$\text{III}[w] = 12 \int_M (\Delta w + 10w^2)^2 \, dV_g - 4 \int_M R_g (10w^2) \, dV_g - 4 \int_M (\Delta_g R_g) w \, dV_g$$

• If $A = L_g$, then $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (1, -4, -\frac{4}{3})$, (Branson) $P_g(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (-\frac{1}{4}, -14, \frac{8}{3})$

and if A is the square of the Dirac operator then $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = (7, -88, -\frac{14}{3})$.

(The formula also holds for powers of conformally covariant operators)

Thm ([BCY]) On S^4 the standard metric g_0 minimizes both $\log \det(L_g)$ and $-\log \det(B^2)$ among all conformal metrics with fixed volume.

The main tool here is a sharp inequality due to Beckner

Lemma Suppose $f \in C^\infty(S^m)$ has an expansion $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(\theta)$ in spherical harmonics.

$$\text{Thm} \quad \log \int_{S^m} f e^{f - \bar{f}} \leq \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B(m, k) \int_{S^m} |Y_k|^2, \quad B(m, k) = \frac{\Gamma(m+k)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(k)}$$

" = " iff $e^{2f/m g_0} = \varphi^* g_0$ for some Möbius map φ

• For $m=4$ one has $B(4, k) = \frac{1}{6} k(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)$. Since also the eigenvalue of

$$-\Delta \text{ on } Y_k \text{ is } k(k+3) \text{ we get } \log \int_{S^4} f e^{4(f - \bar{f})} \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_{S^4} \Delta(\Delta+2)w = \frac{1}{3} \left(\int_{S^4} |w|^2 + 2 \int_{S^4} |w|^2 \right)$$

The right hand side is the Paneitz operator, so we get extremality for Π .

At this point, one should prove the same for III , since $l=0$, and $\beta_2, \beta_3 = 0$.

To see this, recall that $R_{g_0} = 12$, and that $(\Delta+2)e^w = \frac{1}{6} R_{g_0}^2 e^{3w}$,

$$\text{from which we get } \frac{-\Delta e^w}{e^w} = -2 + \frac{R_{g_0}^2}{6} e^{2w}. \quad \text{Also, } \frac{-\Delta e^w}{e^{2w}} = -\Delta w - |dw|^2,$$

$$\text{so } \int_{S^4} \frac{(-\Delta e^w)^2}{e^{4w}} - 4 \int_{S^4} |dw|^2 = \int_{S^4} \left[4 - \frac{2}{3} R_{g_0}^2 e^{2w} + R_{g_0}^2 e^{4w} + 4 \left(-2 + \frac{R_{g_0}^2}{6} e^{2w} \right) \right]$$

$$= -4 + \left(\int_{S^4} R_{g_0}^2 e^{4w} \right) \frac{1}{36} \quad \int_{S^4} R_{g_0}^2 e^{4w}$$

It is well known that the Yamabe functional $\left(\int_{S^4} |dw|^2 + \frac{1}{6} R_{g_0} \int_{S^4} e^{2w} \right) / \left(\int_{S^4} e^{4w} \right)^{1/2}$

attains the infimum at the metrics of the form $e^{2w} g_0 = \varphi^* g_0$, φ Möbius map.

(11)
(2)

Now the last formulas imply (assuming $\int_{S^4} e^{4w} = 1$) (22)

$$6 \Pi[w] = -4 + \int_{S^4} \left(\frac{R_g}{6} \right)^2 e^{4w}, \quad -4 + \int_{S^4} \left(\frac{1}{6} R_g^2 e^{4w} \right)^2 = \chi_{S^4}^2 - 4 = 0 \quad \square$$

Remark By a result of Gursky, the standard metric is not only the extremal one but also the unique critical point (using Böhmer's formulas)

Remark On a general 4-manifold, one has the Pólya (non sharp)

inequality
$$\log \int_M e^{4(a-\bar{a})} dV_g \leq \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M (\Delta u)^2 dV_g + C$$

This is proved adapting a result by Adams for flat domains in \mathbb{R}^n .

Some application is given to derive a priori estimates in $H^2(M)$ in terms of the determinant.

Existence of extremal metrics

If Q_g denotes the Q-curvature of (M, g) , one has a Gauss-Bonnet formula

$$\int (Q_g + \frac{1}{8} |W_g|^2) dV_g = 4\pi^2 \chi(M). \quad \text{Since } |W_g|^2 \text{ is a pt. conf. inv., } \int_M Q_g dV_g \text{ is conf. inv.}$$

Define the quantities (conf. inv.) $K_p = \int_M Q_g dV_g$, $K_d = -\gamma_1 \int_M |W_g|^2 dV_g - \gamma_2 \int_M Q_g dV_g$

Thm ([C-7]) Suppose that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 < 0$ and that $K_d < (-\gamma_2) 8\pi^2$. Then the

supremum of $\gamma_1 I + \gamma_2 II + \gamma_3 III$ is attained. If $K_d < 0$, the extremal metric

for the determinant of the conformal Laplacian is also unique

PP of existence Set $U = \gamma_1 |W_0|^2 + \gamma_2 Q_g - \gamma_3 \Delta R$ ($\int_M U dV_g = -K_d$). (23)

Then $\gamma_1 I + \gamma_2 II + \gamma_3 III = K_d \log \int e^{4(w-\bar{w})} + 4 \int U(w-\bar{w}) + \gamma_2 \int w P_g w + 12 \gamma_3 Y(w)$,

When $K_d \geq 0$ $F(w) \leq C_1 K_d + \frac{K_d}{8\pi^2} \int (\Delta w)^2 dV_g + 4 \int U(w-\bar{w}) + \gamma_2 \int w P_g w + 12 \gamma_3 Y(w)$

When $K_d \leq 0$ $F(w) \leq 4 \int U(w-\bar{w}) + \gamma_2 \int w P_g w + 12 \gamma_3 Y(w)$.

Computing, we have

$$Y(w) = \int \left(\frac{\Delta(e^w)}{e^w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int P_g |w|^2$$

$$\int w P_g w = \int (\Delta w)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \int P_g |w|^2 dV_g - 2 \int R^{ij} w_{;i} w_{;j} dV_g, \text{ and}$$

$$Y(w) = \int (\Delta w)^2 + \int |w|^4 + 2 \int \Delta w |w|^2 - \frac{1}{3} \int P_g |w|^2 dV_g.$$

The functional is upper semicontinuous, and for a maximizing sequence we

$$-C \leq F(w_k) \leq C_1 \tilde{K}_d + \left(\frac{\tilde{K}_d}{8\pi^2} + \gamma_2 + 12\gamma_3 \right) \int (\Delta w_k)^2 + 12\gamma_3 \int |w_k|^4 + 4 \int U(w_k - \bar{w}_k)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2}{3} \gamma_2 - 4\gamma_3 \right) \int P_g |w_k|^2 - 2\gamma_2 \int R^{ij} (w_k)_{;i} (w_k)_{;j} + 24\gamma_3 \int (\Delta w_k) |w_k|^2 \quad (\tilde{K}_d = K_d V_0)$$

If $K_d < -\gamma_2 8\pi^2$ ($\frac{\tilde{K}_d}{8\pi^2} + \gamma_2 < 0$), using $2 \int \Delta w |w|^2 \leq \alpha \int (\Delta w)^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |w|^4$ we get

$$\left(-\alpha - 12(1-\alpha)\gamma_3 \right) \int (\Delta w_k)^2 - 12\gamma_3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \int |w_k|^4 \leq C_1 \tilde{K}_d + 4 \int U(w_k - \bar{w}_k) + C_2 \int P_g |w_k|^2$$

The r.h.s are b.o.l. \Rightarrow a priori bounds. \square $+ C_3 \int R^{ij} (w_k)_{;i} (w_k)_{;j} + C$

PP uniqueness The functional is concave. \square

A related result is the following.

Thm (EC-γ) Suppose $P_g \geq 0$ and that $K_p < 8\pi^2$. Then $\inf II$ is achieved

by some $w \in H^1(M)$. For this w , $\hat{g} = e^{2w} g$ has constant Q curvature.

Rem (i) If $K_p \leq 0$ then the extremal metric is unique.

(24)

(ii) Applications were found for studying the σ_2 equation. (\sim , Ric ≥ 0)

For the functional \mathbb{I} , extremal metrics were found under generic assumptions.

Thm ([D-M]) Suppose P_g has only trivial Kernel, and that $K_p = 8k\pi^2$, $k=1,2,\dots$

Then $\exists \tilde{g} = e^{2w} g$ which is stationary for \mathbb{I} and has constant Q curvature.

The proof relies on min-max theory (or Morse) and some a-priori estimates

for solutions of $P_g u + 2Q_g = 2K_p e^{4w}$ ([D-R], [M]). Here the

condition $K_p \neq 8\pi^2 k$ is used to prove compactness.

• Existence of saddle type extremal metrics for general functionals F is

not known at the moment. Branson proved that for $P_g (g_1, g_2, g_3) = (-\frac{1}{4}, -14, \frac{8}{3})$

Example On the flat torus \mathbb{T}^4 the determinant of the Paneitz operator

$$\text{is } 9 \left(|\Delta u|^2 + 32 \right) |\Delta u|^2 + 16 \int |\Delta u|^4$$

is a local minimum but there are functions for which the energy is negative. Mountain pass?

• There exist radial critical points in \mathbb{R}^4 , and we can describe the

asymptotic behavior of general solutions with finite H^2 norm.